

Asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence of Smale spaces and Ruelle algebras

Kengo Matsumoto
 Department of Mathematics
 Joetsu University of Education
 Joetsu, 943-8512, Japan

March 29, 2017

Abstract

In the first part of the paper, we introduce notions of asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence and asymptotic conjugacy in Smale spaces and characterize them in terms of their asymptotic Ruelle algebras with their dual actions. In the second part, we introduce a groupoid C^* -algebra which is an extended version of the asymptotic Ruelle algebra from a Smale space and study the extended Ruelle algebras from the view points of Cuntz–Krieger algebras. As a result, the asymptotic Ruelle algebra is realized as a fixed point algebra of the extended Ruelle algebra under certain circle action.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37D20, 46L35.

Keywords and phrases: Hyperbolic dynamics, Smale spaces, Ruelle algebras, groupoid, zeta function, continuous orbit equivalence, shifts of finite type, Cuntz–Krieger algebras,

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Smale spaces and their groupoids
- 3 Asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence
- 4 Asymptotic periodic orbits of Smale spaces
- 5 Asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ with dual actions
- 6 Asymptotic conjugacy
- 7 Extended Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$
- 8 Asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence in topological Markov shifts
- 9 Approach from Cuntz–Krieger algebras
- 10 K-theory for the asymptotic Ruelle algebras for full shifts

1 Introduction

D. Ruelle has initiated a study of a basic class of hyperbolic dynamical systems, called Smale spaces, from a view point of noncommutative operator algebras in [35], [36]. Smale spaces are, roughly speaking, hyperbolic dynamical systems with local product structure. Two-sided subshifts of finite type are typical examples of them. He has introduced non commutative algebras from Smale spaces and studied equilibrium states on them. After the Ruelle's papers, Ian F. Putnam [27], [28], [29], [30], Putnam–Spielberg [31] and Kaminker–Putnam–Spielberg [11] (cf. K. Thomsen [39], etc.) have investigated more detail on various kinds of C^* -algebras associated to Smale spaces from the view points of groupoids and structure theory of C^* -algebras. For a Smale space (X, ϕ) , Putnam has considered the following six kinds of C^* -algebras written in [27], [28]

$$S(X, \phi), \quad U(X, \phi), \quad A(X, \phi), \quad S(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \quad U(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \quad A(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.1)$$

The symbols S, U, A correspond to stable, unstable, asymptotic equivalence relations, respectively. The second three algebras in the above six algebras are crossed products of the first three algebras by $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions defined from automorphisms induced by ϕ , respectively. Putnam has wrote the second three algebras as R_s, R_u, R_a , respectively and call them the stable Ruelle algebra, the unstable Ruelle algebra, the asymptotic Ruelle algebra, respectively ([28]). In this paper, we write them as $\mathcal{R}_\phi^s, \mathcal{R}_\phi^u, \mathcal{R}_\phi^a$, respectively to emphasize the original homeomorphism ϕ . He pointed out that if (X, ϕ) is a shift of finite type defined by an irreducible square matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, the algebras $S(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $U(X, \phi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic to the stabilized Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, respectively, where $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

In this paper we will mainly focus on the algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$, the last one in (1.1). By Putnam [27], the algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is realized as the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ of an étale groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Its unit space $(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ is identified with the original space X . We naturally identify $C(X)$ with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. A Smale space (X, ϕ) is said to be *asymptotically essentially free* if the interior of the set of n -asymptotic periodic points $\{x \in X \mid (\phi^n(x), x) \in G_\phi^a\}$ is empty for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$. We know that (X, ϕ) is asymptotically essentially free if and only if the étale groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is essentially principal (Lemma 5.2). In this case, the C^* -subalgebra $C(X)$ is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. If we further assume that (X, ϕ) is irreducible, the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is simple (Proposition 5.3). Since $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ is canonically isomorphic to the crossed product $C^*(G_\phi^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a)$, which is the C^* -algebra $A(X, \phi)$ the third one in (1.1), by the integer $\mathbb{Z}$ coming from the original transformation ϕ on X , the algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ has the dual action written ρ_t^ϕ of the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

In the first part of this paper, we introduce a notion of asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence of Smale spaces, which will be defined in Section 2. Roughly speaking, two Smale spaces are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent if they are continuous orbit equivalent up to asymptotic equivalence. We will show that asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence of Smale spaces is equivalent to their associated étale groupoids being isomorphic. It corresponds to the fact that continuous orbit equivalence of one-sided topological Markov shifts is equivalent to their associated étale groupoids being isomorphic (cf. [20], [22], [23]). If two Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically continuous orbit

equivalent, written $(X, \phi) \underset{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$, then there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow Y$ having certain continuous homomorphisms $c_\phi : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_\psi : G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The continuous homomorphisms define unitary representations $U_t(c_\phi)$ on $l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and $U_t(c_\psi)$ on $l^2(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathbb{T}$ which give rise to actions $\text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi))$ on $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ of $\mathbb{T}$ and $\text{Ad}(U_t(c_\psi))$ on $\mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of $\mathbb{T}$, respectively. In Section 3 and Section 5, we will see the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.6). *Suppose that the Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are irreducible, asymptotically essentially free. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent.
- (ii) The groupoids $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic as étale groupoids.
- (iii) There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\psi)) \circ \Phi, \quad \Phi \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi)) = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}$$

for some continuous homomorphisms $c_\phi : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_\psi : G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

In Section 4, we will then show that orientation preserving asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence of Smale spaces preserves their asymptotic periodic orbits, so that their zeta functions are related to each other by the associated cocycle functions (Theorem 4.8).

In Section 5, we study asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence in Smale spaces in terms of the dual actions of the associated Ruelle algebras.

In Section 6, we will introduce a notion of asymptotic conjugacy between Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) , written $(X, \phi) \underset{a}{\cong} (Y, \psi)$. Roughly speaking, two Smale spaces are asymptotically conjugate if they are topologically conjugate up to asymptotic equivalences. It is stronger than asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence but weaker than topological conjugacy. We will characterize asymptotic conjugacy in terms of the Ruelle algebras with their dual actions in the following way.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 6.4). *Let (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) be irreducible, asymptotically essentially free Smale spaces. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically conjugate.
- (ii) There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ has a translation invariant faithful tracial state coming from maximal measure called SRB measure on X . Hence the algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is never purely infinite. In Section 7, we will introduce a purely infinite version of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. The introduced C^* -algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$, called the extended asymptotic Ruelle algebra. It has natural $\mathbb{T}^2$ -action. The fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u})^{\delta_\phi}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ under the diagonal $\mathbb{T}$ -action written δ_ϕ is isomorphic to the original asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ (Theorem 7.7).

In Section 8, 9, we will apply the above discussions to shifts of finite type, which we call topological Markov shifts, from the view point of Cuntz–Krieger algebras. For an irreducible and not permutation square matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, let us denote by $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ the associated two-sided topological Markov shift. The dynamical system is a typical example of Smale space as in [27], [28], [35]. Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ and $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^a$ and the extended asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$ for the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$, respectively. Let ρ^{A^t} and ρ^A be the gauge actions on the Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ and $\mathcal{O}_A$, respectively. We put the diagonal gauge action $\delta_{A,r} = \rho_r^{A^t} \otimes \rho_r^A, r \in \mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 9.6 and Corollary 9.7). *There exists a projection E_A in the tensor product C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ such that $\delta_{A,r}(E_A) = E_A$ for all $r \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} = E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A$ and the fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u})^{\delta_A}$ of $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ under the diagonal gauge action δ_A is isomorphic to the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ for the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$.*

In Section 10, we will give some examples of topological Markov shifts which are not asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent to each other, by computing the K-groups of the asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. In Putnam [27] and Killough–Putnam [12], the K-theory formula for the asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ for the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ has been provided. We will use Putnam’s formula in [27] to compute the K-

groups of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ for the $N \times N$ matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with all entries

being 1’s, so that the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ is the N -full shift. Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_N^a$ the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ for the matrix A . We see the K-groups $K_*(\mathcal{R}_N^a)$ such that

$$K_0(\mathcal{R}_N^a) \cong K_1(\mathcal{R}_N^a) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}] \quad (\text{Proposition 10.3}).$$

Since the isomorphism class of the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is invariant under asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence by Theorem 5.6, we have the following result.

Proposition 1.4 (Corollary 10.4). *Let N, M be natural numbers greater than one. Then the two-sided full shifts $(\bar{X}_N, \bar{\sigma}_N)$ and $(\bar{X}_M, \bar{\sigma}_M)$ are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent if and only if $N = M$.*

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers and the set of positive integers, respectively.

2 Smale spaces and their groupoids

Let ϕ be a homeomorphism on a compact metric space (X, d) with metric d . Let us recall the definition of Smale space following D. Ruelle [35] and I. F. Putnam [27]. Our notations are slightly changed from Ruelle’s ones and Putnam’s ones. For $\epsilon > 0$, we set

$$\Delta_\epsilon := \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid d(x, y) < \epsilon\}.$$

Suppose that there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a continuous map

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : (x, y) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0} \longrightarrow [x, y] \in X$$

having the following three properties called **(SS1)**:

- (i) $[x, x] = x$ for $x \in X$,
- (ii) $[[x, y], z] = [x, [y, z]] = [x, z]$ for $(x, y), (y, z), (x, z), ([x, y], z), (x, [y, z]) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$,
- (iii) $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = \phi([x, y])$ for $(x, y), (\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$.

Put for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$

$$X^s(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid [y, x] = y, d(x, y) < \epsilon\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$X^u(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid [x, y] = y, d(x, y) < \epsilon\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We further require that there exists $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ such that the following two properties called **(SS2)** hold:

$$d(\phi(y), \phi(z)) \leq \lambda_0 d(y, z) \quad \text{for } y, z \in X^s(x, \epsilon), \quad (2.3)$$

$$d(\phi^{-1}(y), \phi^{-1}(z)) \leq \lambda_0 d(y, z) \quad \text{for } y, z \in X^u(x, \epsilon). \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.1 (Ruelle [35]). A Smale space is a topological dynamical system (X, ϕ) of a homeomorphism ϕ on a compact metric space X with a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfying **(SS1)** and **(SS2)** for suitable ϵ_0, λ_0 .

By Ruelle [35], Putnam [27], there exists ϵ_1 with $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_0$ such that for any ϵ satisfying $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, the equalities

$$X^s(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(y)) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$X^u(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(y)) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n = 0, -1, -2, \dots\} \quad (2.6)$$

hold.

Lemma 2.2 (Putnam [27], Ruelle [35]). *For $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, we have*

$$\{[y, x]\} = X^u(y, \epsilon) \cap X^s(x, \epsilon).$$

Hence for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$ and $x, y, z \in X$, the equality $[y, x] = z$ holds if and only if

$$d(\phi^{-n}(y), \phi^{-n}(z)) < \epsilon, \quad d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(z)) < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This means that the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is determined by the original dynamics (X, d, ϕ) if it exists. The following lemma is also useful.

Lemma 2.3 (Putnam [27], Ruelle [35]). *For any ϵ with $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $x \in X$, we have*

$$(i) \quad \phi(X^s(x, \epsilon)) \subset X^s(\phi(x), \epsilon).$$

$$(ii) \quad \phi^{-1}(X^u(x, \epsilon)) \subset X^u(\phi^{-1}(x), \epsilon).$$

Following Putnam [27], we put for $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} X^s(x) &= \{y \in X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(y)) = 0\}, \\ X^u(x) &= \{y \in X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi^{-n}(x), \phi^{-n}(y)) = 0\}, \\ X^a(x) &= X^s(x) \cap X^u(x). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the inclusion relations $X^s(x, \epsilon_0) \subset X^s(x)$ and $X^u(x, \epsilon_0) \subset X^u(x)$ have shown in [27]. The following lemma has been seen in [27], [35].

Lemma 2.4 (Putnam [27], Ruelle [35]). *For any ϵ with $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and $x \in X$, we have*

- (i) $X^s(x) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^{-n}(X^s(\phi^n(x), \epsilon))$.
- (ii) $X^u(x) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(X^s(\phi^{-n}(x), \epsilon))$.

Following Putnam [27], we put

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi^{s,0} &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid y \in X^s(x, \epsilon_0)\}, \\ G_\phi^{u,0} &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid y \in X^u(x, \epsilon_0)\}, \\ G_\phi^{a,0} &= G_\phi^{s,0} \cap G_\phi^{u,0}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi^{s,n} &= (\phi \times \phi)^{-n}(G_\phi^{s,0}), \\ G_\phi^{u,n} &= (\phi \times \phi)^n(G_\phi^{u,0}), \\ G_\phi^{a,n} &= G_\phi^{s,n} \cap G_\phi^{u,n}. \end{aligned}$$

All of them are given the relative topology of $X \times X$.

Since $[y, x] = y$ if and only if $[x, y] = x$, one sees that $y \in X^s(x, \epsilon_0)$ if and only if $x \in X^s(y, \epsilon_0)$. Hence $(x, y) \in G_\phi^{*,n}$ if and only if $(y, x) \in G_\phi^{*,n}$ for $* = s, u, a$.

We note the following lemma, which is well-known and useful.

Lemma 2.5. *For $x, y \in X$ we have $(x, y) \in G_\phi^{a,0}$ if and only if $x = y$. Hence we may identify $G_\phi^{a,0}$ with X as a topological space.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary $(x, y) \in G_\phi^{a,0}$. As $(x, y) \in G_\phi^{s,0}$, we see that $y \in X^s(x, \epsilon_0)$ so that $[y, x] = y$, and also as $(x, y) \in G_\phi^{u,0}$, we see that $y \in X^u(x, \epsilon_0)$ so that $[x, y] = y$. Hence we have

$$x = [x, x] = [x, [y, x]] = [x, y] = y.$$

□

By Lemma 2.3, we know that

$$G_\phi^{*,n} \subset G_\phi^{*,n+1}, \quad * = s, u, a, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Following [27], [28], [31], we define several equivalence relations on X :

$$G_\phi^s = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_\phi^{s,n}, \quad G_\phi^u = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_\phi^{u,n}, \quad G_\phi^a = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_\phi^{a,n}.$$

By (2.7), the set $G_\phi^* = \cup_{n=0}^\infty G_\phi^{*,n}$ is an inductive system of topological spaces. Each $G_\phi^*, * = s, u, a$ is endowed with the inductive limit topology. The following lemma has been also shown by Putnam.

Lemma 2.6 (Putnam [27]).

- (i) $G_\phi^s = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(y)) = 0\}$.
- (ii) $G_\phi^u = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi^{-n}(x), \phi^{-n}(y)) = 0\}$.
- (iii) $G_\phi^a = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi^n(x), \phi^n(y)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi^{-n}(x), \phi^{-n}(y)) = 0\}$.

Putnam have studied these three equivalence relations G_ϕ^s , G_ϕ^u and G_ϕ^a on X by regarding them as principal groupoids. He pointed out that the third equivalence relation G_ϕ^a is an étale equivalence relation whereas the first two are not étale in general. He has also studied the associated groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_\phi^s)$, $C^*(G_\phi^u)$ and $C^*(G_\phi^a)$ which have been denoted by $S(X, \phi)$, $U(X, \phi)$ and $A(X, \phi)$, respectively. He has pointed out that they are all stably AF-algebras if (X, ϕ) is a shift of finite type. He also studied their semi-direct products by integer group $\mathbb{Z}$ as groupoids

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi^s \rtimes \mathbb{Z} &= \{(x, n, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^n(x), y) \in G_\phi^s\}, \\ G_\phi^u \rtimes \mathbb{Z} &= \{(x, n, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^n(x), y) \in G_\phi^u\}, \\ G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} &= \{(x, n, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^n(x), y) \in G_\phi^a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map

$$\gamma : (x, n, y) \in G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow ((x, \phi^{-n}(y)), n) \in G_\phi^* \times \mathbb{Z} \quad (2.8)$$

is bijective, the topology of the groupoid $G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by the product topology of $G_\phi^* \times \mathbb{Z}$ through the map γ . Let us denote by $(G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ the unit space of the groupoid $G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ which is identified with that of G_ϕ^* and naturally homeomorphic to the original space X through the correspondence $(x, 0, x) \in (G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ \longrightarrow x \in X$ for $* = s, u, a$. The range map $r : G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ and the source map $s : G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (G_\phi^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ are defined by

$$r(x, n, y) = (x, 0, x) \quad \text{and} \quad s(x, n, y) = (y, 0, y).$$

The groupoid operations are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (x, n, y) \cdot (x', m, w) &= (x, n + m, w) \quad \text{if } y = x', \\ (x, n, y)^{-1} &= (y, -n, x). \end{aligned}$$

Putnam [27], [28] and Putnam–Spielberg [31] have also studied their associated groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_\phi^s \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, $C^*(G_\phi^u \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ which have been written R_s , R_u and R_a , respectively in their papers. In this paper we denote them by $\mathcal{R}_\phi^s$, $\mathcal{R}_\phi^u$ and $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$, respectively, to emphasize the homeomorphism ϕ .

3 Asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence

Let (X, ϕ) be a Smale space. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of continuous functions on X is called a *one-cocycle* for ϕ if they satisfy the identity (3.1).

$$f_n(x) + f_l(\phi^n(x)) = f_{n+l}(x), \quad x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

For a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$f^n(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\phi^i(x)) & \text{for } n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ -f^{-n}(\phi^{-n}(x)) & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the identity*

$$f^n(x) + f^l(\phi^n(x)) = f^{n+l}(x), \quad x \in X \quad (3.2)$$

holds. Hence the sequence $\{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a one-cocycle for ϕ .

We note a sequence of functions satisfying (3.1) is determined by only f_1 .

In what follows we focus on asymptotic equivalence relations G_ϕ^a . A continuous function $d : G_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called two-cocycle if it satisfies the following equalities:

$$d(x, z) + d(z, w) = d(x, w), \quad (x, z), (z, w), (x, w) \in G_\phi^a. \quad (3.3)$$

Definition 3.2. Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be *asymptotically continuously orbit equivalent* if there exist a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow Y$, continuous functions

$$c_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_2 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.4)$$

and two-cocycle functions

$$d_1 : G_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad d_2 : G_\psi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.5)$$

such that

- (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the pair $(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x)))$ denoted by $\xi_1^n(x)$ belongs to G_ψ^a for each $x \in X$, and the map $\xi_1^n : x \in X \rightarrow \xi_1^n(x) \in G_\psi^a$ is continuous.
- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the pair $(\phi^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(\psi^n(y)))$ denoted by $\xi_2^n(y)$ belongs to G_ϕ^a for each $y \in Y$, and the map $\xi_2^n : y \in Y \rightarrow \xi_2^n(y) \in G_\phi^a$ is continuous.
- (iii) The pair $(\psi^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), h(z))$ denoted by $\eta_1(x, z)$ belongs to G_ψ^a for each $(x, z) \in G_\phi^a$, and the map $\eta_1 : (x, z) \in G_\phi^a \rightarrow \eta_1(x, z) \in G_\psi^a$ is continuous.
- (iv) The pair $(\phi^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(w))$ denoted by $\eta_2(y, w)$ belongs to G_ϕ^a for each $(y, w) \in G_\psi^a$, and the map $\eta_2 : (y, w) \in G_\psi^a \rightarrow \eta_2(y, w) \in G_\phi^a$ is continuous.

- (v) $c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))) = n, \quad x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- (vi) $c_1^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\phi^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(\psi^n(y))) = n, \quad y \in Y, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- (vii) $c_2^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), h(z)) = 0, \quad (x, z) \in G_\phi^a.$
- (viii) $c_1^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\phi^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(w)) = 0, \quad (y, w) \in G_\psi^a.$

We write this situation $(X, \phi) \underset{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi).$

Remark 3.3. We remark that the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) above are replaced with the following conditions respectively,

- (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a continuous function $k_{1,n} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\psi^{k_{1,n}(x)+c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), \psi^{k_{1,n}(x)}(h(\phi^n(x)))) \in G_\psi^{s,0}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$(\psi^{-k_{1,n}(x)+c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), \psi^{-k_{1,n}(x)}(h(\phi^n(x)))) \in G_\psi^{u,0}. \quad (3.7)$$

- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a continuous function $k_{2,n} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\phi^{k_{2,n}(y)+c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{k_{2,n}(y)}(h^{-1}(\psi^n(y)))) \in G_\phi^{s,0}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$(\phi^{-k_{2,n}(y)+c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{-k_{2,n}(y)}(h^{-1}(\psi^n(y)))) \in G_\phi^{u,0}. \quad (3.9)$$

- (iii) There exists a continuous function $m_1 : G_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\psi^{m_1(x,z)+d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), \psi^{m_1(x,z)}(h(z))) \in G_\psi^{s,0} \text{ for } (x, z) \in G_\phi^a,$$

$$(\psi^{-m_1(x,z)+d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), \psi^{-m_1(x,z)}(h(z))) \in G_\psi^{u,0} \text{ for } (x, z) \in G_\phi^a.$$

- (iv) There exists a continuous function $m_2 : G_\psi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\psi^{m_2(y,w)+d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), \psi^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))) \in G_\phi^{s,0} \text{ for } (y, w) \in G_\psi^a,$$

$$(\psi^{-m_2(y,w)+d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), \psi^{-m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))) \in G_\phi^{u,0} \text{ for } (y, w) \in G_\psi^a.$$

In what follows, we will assume that our Smale space is irreducible, which means that for every ordered pair of open sets $U, V \subset X$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi^K(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that the Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are irreducible. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent.
- (ii) The groupoids $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic as étale groupoids.

Proof. (ii) $\implies$ (i): Suppose that the groupoids $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic as étale groupoids. There exist homeomorphisms $h : (G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ \rightarrow (G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ and $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ which are compatible to their groupoid operations. Since the unit spaces $(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$

and $(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ are identified with the original spaces X and Y as topological spaces through the identifications

$$(x, 0, x) \in (G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ \longrightarrow x \in X \quad \text{and} \quad (y, 0, y) \in (G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ \longrightarrow y \in Y,$$

respectively, we have a homeomorphism from X onto Y , which is still denoted by $h : X \rightarrow Y$. As $\varphi_h(x, n, z) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ for $(x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $c_1(x, n, z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi_h(x, n, z) = (h(x), c_1(x, n, z), h(z))$. As in particular we have $(x, n, \phi^n(x)) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ for $z = \phi^n(x)$, we may put $c_{1,n}(x) = c_1(x, n, \phi^n(x))$ so that

$$(h(x), c_{1,n}(x), h(\phi^n(x))) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.10)$$

Now for $x \in X$ and $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(x, n + l, \phi^{n+l}(x)) = (x, n, \phi^n(x)) \cdot (\phi^n(x), l, \phi^{n+l}(x)) \text{ in } G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & (h(x), c_{1,n+l}(x), h(\phi^{n+l}(x))) \\ &= \varphi_h(x, n + l, \phi^{n+l}(x)) \\ &= \varphi_h(x, n, \phi^n(x)) \varphi_h(\phi^n(x), l, \phi^{n+l}(x)) \\ &= (h(x), c_{1,n}(x), h(\phi^n(x))) (h(\phi^n(x)), c_{1,l}(\phi^n(x)), h(\phi^{n+l}(x))) \\ &= (h(x), c_{1,n}(x) + c_{1,l}(\phi^n(x)), h(\phi^{n+l}(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$c_{1,n+l}(x) = c_{1,n}(x) + c_{1,l}(\phi^n(x)), \quad (3.11)$$

so that the sequence $\{c_{1,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of continuous functions is a one-cocycle function on X . By putting $c_1(x) := c_{1,1}(x)$, we see that $c_1^n(x) = c_{1,n}(x)$ by (3.11). By (3.10), we see that $(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))) \in G_\psi^a$. Since the maps below

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x, x), n) \in G_\phi^a \times \mathbb{Z} \\ & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} (x, n, \phi^n(x)) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\ & \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} (h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x))) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\ & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} ((h(x), \psi^{-c_1^n(x)}(h(\phi^n(x))), c_1^n(x)) \in G_\psi^a \times \mathbb{Z} \\ & \xrightarrow{(\psi^{c_1^n(x)} \times \psi^{c_1^n(x)}) \times \text{id}} (\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x)), c_1^n(x)) \in G_\psi^a \times \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

are all continuous, the map $\xi_1^n : x \in X \longrightarrow \xi_1^n(x) := (\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))) \in G_\psi^a$ is continuous.

On the other hand, for $(x, z) \in G_\phi^a$ we see that $(x, 0, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Hence there exists $d_1(x, z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi_h(x, 0, z) = (h(x), d_1(x, z), h(z))$. Since $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous, the function $d_1 : G_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous. For $(x, z), (z, w) \in G_\phi^a$, we have

$(x, 0, w) = (x, 0, z)(z, 0, w) \in G_\phi^a$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& (h(x), d_1(x, w), h(w)) \\
&= \varphi_h(x, 0, w) \\
&= \varphi_h(x, 0, z) \cdot \varphi_h(z, 0, w) \\
&= (h(x), d_1(x, z), h(z)) \cdot (h(z), d_1(z, w), h(w)) \\
&= (h(x), d_1(x, z) + d_1(z, w), h(w))
\end{aligned}$$

so that $d_1(x, w) = d_1(x, z) + d_1(z, w)$ holds, and $d_1 : G_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a two-cocycle function. Since the maps below

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((x, z), 0) \in G_\phi^a \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& \xrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}} (x, 0, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\
& \xrightarrow{\varphi_h} (h(x), d_1(x, z), h(z)) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \\
& \xrightarrow{\gamma} ((h(x), \psi^{-d_1(x, z)}(h(z))), d_1(x, z)) \in G_\psi^a \times \mathbb{Z} \\
& \xrightarrow{(\psi^{d_1(x, z)} \times \psi^{d_1(x, z)}) \times \text{id}} (\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z), d_1(x, z)) \in G_\psi^a \times \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}$$

are all continuous, the map $\eta_1 : (x, z) \in G_\phi^a \longrightarrow \eta_1(x, z) := (\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)) \in G_\psi^a$ is continuous.

We similarly have one-cocycle function $c_2 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and two-cocycle function $d_2 : G_\psi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for the homeomorphism $\varphi_h^{-1} : G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Since $h^{-1} = (\varphi_h)^{-1}|_{(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ} : (G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ = Y \longrightarrow (G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ = X$, we see that $\varphi_h^{-1} = \varphi_{h^{-1}}$. By the identity

$$(x, n, \phi^n(x)) = (\varphi_h^{-1} \circ \varphi_h)(x, n, \phi^n(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\varphi_h^{-1} \circ \varphi_h)(x, n, \phi^n(x)) \\
&= \varphi_h^{-1}(h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x))) \\
&= \varphi_h^{-1}(h(x), c_1^n(x), \psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x))) \cdot \varphi_h^{-1}(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), 0, h(\phi^n(x))) \\
&= (x, c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h^{-1}(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x))) \cdot (h^{-1}(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x))), d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))), \phi^n(x)) \\
&= (x, c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))), \phi^n(x))
\end{aligned}$$

so that the identity

$$c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))) = n$$

holds, and similarly

$$c_1^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\phi^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(\psi^n(y))) = n, \quad y \in Y, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $(x, z) \in G_\phi^a$, the identity $(x, 0, z) = (\varphi_h^{-1} \circ \varphi)(x, 0, z)$ holds so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\varphi_h^{-1} \circ \varphi)(x, 0, z) \\
&= \varphi_h^{-1}(h(x), d_1(x, z), h(z)) \\
&= \varphi_h^{-1}(h(x), d_1(x, z), \psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x))) \cdot \varphi_h^{-1}(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), 0, h(z)) \\
&= (x, c_2^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h^{-1}(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x))) \cdot (h^{-1}(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x))), d_2(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)), z) \\
&= (x, c_2^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)), z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$c_2^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)) = 0, \quad (x, z) \in G_\phi^a,$$

and similarly

$$c_1^{d_2(y, w)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\phi^{d_2(y, w)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(w)) = 0, \quad (y, w) \in G_\psi^a.$$

Therefore we see that $(X, \phi) \stackrel{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$.

(i) $\implies$ (ii): Assume that $(X, \phi) \stackrel{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$ and take a homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$, continuous functions

$$c_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_2 : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.12)$$

and cocycle functions

$$d_1 : G_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad d_2 : G_\psi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.13)$$

as in Definition 3.2. Let $(x, n, x') \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be an arbitrary element, so that $(\phi^n(x), x') \in G_\phi^a$ and we have

$$(x, n, x') = (x, n, \phi^n(x))(\phi^n(x), 0, x'). \quad (3.14)$$

Put

$$\varphi_h(x, n, x') = (h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x)) \cdot (h(\phi^n(x)), d_1(\phi^n(x), x'), h(x')))). \quad (3.15)$$

By Definition 3.2 (i), $(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x)))$ belongs to G_ψ^a so that $(h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x)))$ gives an element $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. As $(\phi^n(x), x') \in G_\phi^a$, we see that by Definition 3.2 (iii), $(\psi^{d_1(\phi^n(x), x')}(h(\phi^n(x))), h(x'))$ belongs to G_ψ^a so that $(h(\phi^n(x)), d_1(\phi^n(x), x'), h(x'))$ gives an element $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\varphi_h(x, n, x')$ defines an element of the groupoid $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\varphi_h(x, n, x') = (h(x), c_1^n(x) + d_1(\phi^n(x), x'), h(x')). \quad (3.16)$$

Since $x \in X \longrightarrow \xi_1^n(x) = (\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))) \in G_\psi^a$ is continuous by Definition 3.2 (i) and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{-1} \circ ((\psi^{-c_1^n(x)} \times \psi^{-c_1^n(x)}) \times \text{id})(\xi_1^n(x), c_1^n(x)) \\
&= \gamma^{-1}(h(x), \psi^{-c_1^n(x)}(h(\phi^n(x))), c_1^n(x)) \\
&= (h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x))),
\end{aligned}$$

the map $\varphi_h^1 : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\varphi_h^1(x, n, x') := (h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x)))$$

is continuous.

And also the map $\eta_1 : (x, z) \in G_\phi^a \longrightarrow \eta_1(x, z) = (\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)) \in G_\psi^a$ is continuous by Definition 3.2 (iii) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^{-1}((\psi^{-d_1(\phi^n(x), x')} \times \psi^{-d_1(\phi^n(x), x')}) \times \text{id})(\eta_1(\phi^n(x), x'), d_1(\phi^n(x), x'))) \\ &= \gamma^{-1}(h(\phi^n(x)), \psi^{-d_1(\phi^n(x), x')}, d_1(\phi^n(x), x'))) \\ &= (h(\phi^n(x)), d_1(\phi^n(x), x'), h(x')). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map $\varphi_h^0 : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\varphi_h^0(x, n, x') := (h(\phi^n(x)), d_1(\phi^n(x), x'), h(x'))$$

is continuous. Since $\varphi_h(x, n, x') = \varphi_h^1(x, n, x')\varphi_h^0(x, n, x')$ by (3.15), the map $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous.

Similarly we may define a continuous map $\varphi_{h^{-1}} : G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ from the homeomorphism $h^{-1} : Y \longrightarrow X$ and one-cocycle function $c_2 : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, two-cocycle function $d_2 : G_\psi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by setting

$$\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, m, y') = (h^{-1}(y), c_2^m(y) + d_2(\psi^m(y), y'), h^{-1}(y')) \quad \text{for } (y, m, y') \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}.$$

We put for $(y, m, y') \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{h^{-1}})^1(y, m, y') &= (h^{-1}(y), c_2^m(y), h^{-1}(\psi^m(y))), \\ (\varphi_{h^{-1}})^0(y, m, y') &= (h^{-1}(\psi^m(y)), d_2(\psi^m(y), y'), h^{-1}(y')) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, m, y') = (\varphi_{h^{-1}})^1(y, m, y') \cdot (\varphi_{h^{-1}})^0(y, m, y') \quad \text{for } (y, m, y') \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}.$$

We will next show that φ_h and $\varphi_{h^{-1}}$ are inverses to each other. For $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(x, n, \phi^n(x)) \\ &= \varphi_{h^{-1}}(h(x), c_1^n(x), h(\phi^n(x))) \\ &= \varphi_{h^{-1}}(h(x), c_1^n(x), \psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x))) \cdot (\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), 0, h(\phi^n(x))) \\ &= (x, c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h^{-1}(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)))) \cdot (h^{-1}(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x))), d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))), \phi^n(x)) \\ &= (x, c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))), \phi^n(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By the condition of Definition 3.2 (v), we have

$$(\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(x, n, \phi^n(x)) = (x, n, \phi^n(x)).$$

We will next see the following equalities for $(x, z) \in G_\phi^a$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h(x, 0, z) \\ &= \varphi_{h^{-1}}(h(x), d_1(x, z), h(z)) \\ &= (x, c_2^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h^{-1}(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)))) \cdot (h^{-1}(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x))), d_2(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)), z) \\ &= (x, c_2^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{d_1(x, z)}(h(x)), h(z)), z) \end{aligned}$$

By the condition of Definition 3.2 (vii), we have

$$(\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(x, 0, z) = (x, 0, z).$$

Therefore we have for $(x, n, x') \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(x, n, x') \\ &= ((\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(x, n, \phi^n(x))) \cdot ((\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(\phi^n(x), 0, x')) \\ &= (x, n, \phi^n(x)) \cdot (\phi^n(x), 0, x') \\ &= (x, n, x'). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $(\varphi_h \circ \varphi_{h^{-1}})(y, m, y') = (y, m, y')$ for $(y, m, y') \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we have $\varphi_{h^{-1}} = (\varphi_h)^{-1}$ and they give rise to an isomorphism $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of the étale groupoids. $\square$

Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be *stably continuous orbit equivalent* if in Definition 3.2, we may replace G_ϕ^a, G_ψ^a with G_ϕ^s, G_ψ^s , respectively, and written $(X, \phi) \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}_{SCOE} (Y, \psi)$. Unstably continuous orbit equivalent is similarly defined by replacing G_ϕ^a, G_ψ^a with G_ϕ^u, G_ψ^u , respectively, and written $(X, \phi) \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}_{UCOE} (Y, \psi)$. Precise definition of *stably continuous orbit equivalent* is the following:

Definition 3.5. Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be *stably continuous orbit equivalent* if there exist a homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$, continuous functions

$$c_1 : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_2 : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

and two-cocycle functions

$$d_1 : G_\phi^s \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad d_2 : G_\psi^s \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

such that

- (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a continuous function $k_{1,n} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\psi^{k_{1,n}(x)+c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), \psi^{k_{1,n}(x)}(h(\phi^n(x)))) \in G_\psi^{s,0}.$$

- (ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a continuous function $k_{2,n} : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\phi^{k_{2,n}(y)+c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{k_{2,n}(y)}(h^{-1}(\phi^n(y)))) \in G_\phi^{s,0}.$$

- (iii) There exists a continuous function $m_1 : G_\phi^s \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\psi^{m_1(x,z)+d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), \psi^{m_1(x,z)}(h(z))) \in G_\psi^{s,0} \text{ for } (x, z) \in G_\phi^s.$$

- (iv) There exists a continuous function $m_2 : G_\psi^s \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(\psi^{m_2(y,w)+d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), \psi^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))) \in G_\phi^{s,0} \text{ for } (y, w) \in G_\psi^s.$$

- (v) $c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x))) = n, \quad x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- (vi) $c_1^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\phi^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(\psi^n(y))) = n, \quad y \in Y, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- (vii) $c_2^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), h(z)) = 0, \quad (x, z) \in G_\phi^s.$
- (viii) $c_1^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\phi^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(w)) = 0, \quad (y, w) \in G_\psi^s.$

If we replace $G_\phi^{s,0}, G_\psi^{s,0}, G_\phi^s, G_\psi^s$ with $G_\phi^{u,0}, G_\psi^{u,0}, G_\phi^u, G_\psi^u$, respectively, then (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be unstably continuous orbit equivalent.

We may show the theorem in a similar fashion to Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that the Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are irreducible. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(X, \phi) \underset{SCO E}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$ (resp. $(X, \phi) \underset{UCOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$)
- (ii) *The groupoids $G_\phi^s \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^s \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $G_\phi^u \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^u \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$) are isomorphic as topological groupoids.*

4 Asymptotic periodic orbits of Smale spaces

Let (X, ϕ) be an irreducible Smale space.

Definition 4.1. An element $x \in X$ is called an *asymptotic periodic point* if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x, \phi^p(x)) \in G_\phi^a$. We call such p asymptotic period of x . If p is the least such number, it is said to be the least asymptotic period of x .

Definition 4.2. Two irreducible Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be *orientation preserving* asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow Y$, one-cocycle functions c_1, c_2 and two-cocycle functions d_1, d_2 satisfying Definition 3.2 such that $(\phi^p(x), x) \in G_\phi^a$ for some $p > 0$ always implies $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x) > 0$, and $(\psi^q(y), y) \in G_\psi^a$ for some $q > 0$ always implies $c_2^q(y) + d_2(\psi^q(y), y) > 0$. We write this situation as $(X, \phi) \underset{+ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$.

Throughout this section, we assume that $(X, \phi) \underset{+ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$ and keep a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow Y$, one-cocycle functions c_1, c_2 and two-cocycle functions d_1, d_2 which give rise to orientation preserving asymptotically continuous orbit equivalence between (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) .

Lemma 4.3. *If $x \in X$ is an asymptotic periodic point with asymptotic period p , then $h(x)$ is also an asymptotic periodic point with asymptotic period $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$.*

Proof. Since $(x, \phi^p(x)) \in G_\phi^a$ and hence $(x, p, x) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\varphi_h(x, p, x) = (h(x), c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x), h(x)).$$

As $(X, \phi) \underset{+ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$, $h(x)$ is an asymptotic periodic point in Y with asymptotic period $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $x \in X$ be an asymptotic periodic point with least asymptotic period p . Let p' be the least asymptotic period of $h(x)$. Then we have the equality*

$$c_2^{np'}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{np'}(h(x)), h(x)) = n \cdot (c_2^{p'}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x))) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Suppose that $(x, \phi^p(x)) \in G_\phi^a$. Put $y = h(x)$ and $q' = c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$. By the preceding lemma, we know that y has asymptotic period q' , so that $(y, p', y) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Now suppose that the equality (4.1) holds for $n = k$. Since $(y, p', y)(y, kp', y) = (y, (k+1)p', y)$, we get

$$\varphi_{h^{-1}}((y, p', y)(y, kp', y)) = \varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, (k+1)p', y). \quad (4.2)$$

The left hand side of (4.2) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{h^{-1}}((y, p', y)\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, kp', y)) \\ &= (h^{-1}(y), c_2^{p'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{p'}(y), y), h^{-1}(y)) \cdot (h^{-1}(y), c_2^{kp'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{kp'}(y), y), h^{-1}(y)) \\ &= (h^{-1}(y), c_2^{p'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{p'}(y), y) + c_2^{kp'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{kp'}(y), y), h^{-1}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (4.2) equals

$$\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, (k+1)p', y) = (h^{-1}(y), c_2^{(k+1)p'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{(k+1)p'}(y), y), h^{-1}(y))$$

so that we have

$$c_2^{p'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{p'}(y), y) + c_2^{kp'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{kp'}(y), y) = c_2^{(k+1)p'}(y) + d_2(\psi^{(k+1)p'}(y), y).$$

By induction, we see the desired equalities for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *If $x \in X$ is an asymptotic periodic point with asymptotic period p , then $h(x)$ is also an asymptotic periodic point with asymptotic period $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$. If in particular, p is the least asymptotic period of x , then $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$ is the least asymptotic period of $h(x)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the “If in particular” part. Suppose that $(x, \phi^p(x)) \in G_\phi^a$ and p is the least asymptotic period of x . We will show that $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$ is the least asymptotic period of $h(x)$. Let p' be the least asymptotic period of $h(x)$. Put $q' = c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$, so that $q' = n \cdot p'$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove that $n = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (x, p, x) &= (\varphi_{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi_h)(x, p, x) \\ &= \varphi_{h^{-1}}(h(x), q', h(x)) \\ &= (x, c_2^{q'}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{q'}(h(x)), h(x)), x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $p = c_2^{q'}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{q'}(h(x)), h(x))$. As $q' = np'$, the preceding lemma tells us that

$$p = n \cdot (c_2^{p'}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x))). \quad (4.3)$$

Since p' is (the least) asymptotic period of $h(x)$, we have $(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x)) \in G_\psi^a$, so that by Definition 3.2 (iv), we have

$$\phi^{d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x))}(h^{-1}(\psi^{p'}(h(x))), h^{-1}(h(x))) \in G_\phi^a. \quad (4.4)$$

By Definition 3.2 (ii), we have $(\phi^{c_2^{p'}}(h(x))(x), h^{-1}(\psi^{p'}(h(x)))) \in G_\phi^a$ and hence

$$(\phi^{c_2^{p'}(h(x))+d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x))}(x), \phi^{d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x))}(h^{-1}(\psi^{p'}(h(x)))))) \in G_\phi^a. \quad (4.5)$$

By (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$(\phi^{c_2^{p'}(h(x))+d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x))}(x), x) \in G_\phi^a. \quad (4.6)$$

As p is the least asymptotic period of x , we have

$$c_2^{p'}(h(x)) + d_2(\psi^{p'}(h(x)), h(x)) = p \cdot m' \quad \text{for some } m' \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.3) and (4.7), we have

$$p = n \cdot m' \cdot p \quad (4.8)$$

so that we conclude that $n = 1$ and hence $c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$ is the least asymptotic period of $h(x)$. $\square$

For an asymptotic periodic point $x \in X$ with asymptotic period p , We put

$$c_h^p(x) := c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x) \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (4.9)$$

If p is the least asymptotic period, the preceding proposition tells us that

$$c_h^{np}(x) = n \cdot c_h^p(x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (4.10)$$

In this case, as any asymptotic period q of x is written $q = m \cdot p$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $c_h^{nmp}(x) = nm \cdot c_h^p(x) = n \cdot c_h^{mp}(x)$ so that $c_h^{nq}(x) = n \cdot c_h^q(x)$.

For a periodic point $x \in X$, the finite set $\{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is called a periodic orbit. Let us denote by

$$\text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X) := \text{the set of periodic orbits of } (X, \phi). \quad (4.11)$$

For a periodic orbit $\gamma \in \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X)$, take a periodic point $x \in X$ such that $\gamma = \{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The cardinality $\{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is called the length of γ and written $|\gamma|$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $(X, \phi) \xrightarrow{+ACOE} (Y, \psi)$. There exists a bijective map $\xi_h : \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X) \rightarrow \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(Y)$ such that*

$$|\xi_h(\gamma)| = c_h^{|\gamma|}(x) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X) \text{ with } \gamma = \{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. For $\gamma = \{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, Put $p = |\gamma|$. Hence x is a periodic point with least period p . Since $(\psi^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\phi^n(x)))$ belongs to G_ψ^a for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the points $h(x)$ and $h(\phi^n(x))$ are on the same orbit in Y asymptotically, so that there exists $\xi_h(\gamma) \in \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(Y)$ such that $(h(x), y) \in G_\psi^a$, $(h(\phi^n(x)), y') \in G_\psi^a$ for some $y, y' \in \xi_h(\gamma)$. Hence $\xi_h(\gamma)$ is unique and does not depend on the choice of $h(\phi^n(x)), n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the preceding lemma, $c_h^p(x) = c_1^p(x) + d_1(\phi^p(x), x)$ is the asymptotic period of $h(x)$, which is the period of $\xi_h(x)$. That is, $|\xi_h(\gamma)| = c_h^p(x)$. $\square$

Let us recall the following theorem by R. Bowen

Theorem 4.7 ([3, Theorem 3.12]). *Let (X, ϕ) be an irreducible Smale space. Then there exists an irreducible subshift of finite type $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ such that there exists a finite to one factor map $\varphi : (\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A) \longrightarrow (X, \phi)$.*

Let $\text{Per}_n(X)$ be the set of n -periodic points of the Smale space (X, ϕ) . The theorem above tells us that the set $\text{Per}_n(X)$ is finite for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ because so is $\text{Per}_n(\bar{X}_A)$. The zeta function $\zeta_\phi(t)$ for the dynamical system (X, ϕ) is defined by

$$\zeta_\phi(t) := \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n} |\text{Per}_n(X)| \right\} \quad (\text{cf. [3], [15], [25], [37]}).$$

Suppose that $(X, \phi) \underset{+ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$. By Proposition 4.5, there exists a bijective map $\xi_h : \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(Y)$ such that

$$|\xi_h(\gamma)| = c_h^{|\gamma|}(x) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X) \text{ with } \gamma = \{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We set

$$\zeta_{\xi_h}(t) := \prod_{\gamma \in \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X)} (1 - t^{|\xi_h(\gamma)|})^{-1}.$$

Define the dynamical zeta function

$$\zeta_{\phi, c_h}(s) := \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in \text{Per}_n(X)} \exp(-sc_h^n(x)) \right\} \quad (\text{cf. [37], [25]}).$$

By putting $t = e^{-s}$, we see that

$$\zeta_{\xi_h}(t) = \zeta_{\phi, c_h}(s)$$

by general theory of dynamical zeta function (cf. [25], [37]).

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that $(X, \phi) \underset{+ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$. Let $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a homeomorphism which gives rise to an asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence between them. Then we have*

$$\zeta_\phi(t) = \zeta_{\xi_{h^{-1}}}(t), \quad \zeta_\psi(t) = \zeta_{\xi_h}(t).$$

Proof. Since there exists a bijection $\xi_h : \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(Y)$ such that $|\xi_h(\gamma)| = c_h^{|\gamma|}(x)$ for $\gamma \in \text{P}_{\text{orb}}(X)$ with $\gamma = \{\phi^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. As ξ_h is bijective, we get $\zeta_\psi(t) = \zeta_{\xi_h}(t)$. Similarly we see that $\zeta_\phi(t) = \zeta_{\xi_{h^{-1}}}(t)$. $\square$

5 Asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ with dual actions

Let us recall the construction of the groupoid C^* -algebras from étale groupoids ([32]). Let G be an étale groupoid with range map $r : G \longrightarrow G^\circ$ and source map $s : G \longrightarrow G^\circ$ from G to the unit space G° of G . Let us note that “r-discrete” was used in [32] instead of “étale”.

The (reduced) groupoid C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G)$ for an étale groupoid G is defined as in the following way ([32]). Let $C_c(G)$ be the set of all continuous functions on G with compact support that has a natural product structure of $*$ -algebra given by

$$(f * g)(u) = \sum_{r(t)=r(u)} f(t)g(t^{-1}u) = \sum_{u=t_1t_2} f(t_1)g(t_2),$$

$$f^*(u) = \overline{f(u^{-1})}, \quad f, g \in C_c(G), \quad u \in G.$$

Let $C_0(G^\circ)$ be the C^* -algebra of all continuous functions on the unit space G° that vanish at infinity. The algebra $C_c(G)$ is a $C_0(G^\circ)$ -module, endowed with a $C_0(G^\circ)$ -valued inner product by

$$(\xi f)(t) = \xi(t)f(r(t)), \quad \xi \in C_c(G), \quad f \in C_0(G^\circ), \quad t \in G,$$

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle(r(t)) = \sum_{t \in G} \overline{\xi(t)}\eta(t), \quad \xi, \eta \in C_c(G).$$

Let us denote by $l^2(G)$ the completion of the inner product $C_0(G^\circ)$ -module $C_c(G)$. It is a Hilbert C^* -right module over the commutative C^* -algebra $C_0(G^\circ)$. We denote by $B(l^2(G))$ the C^* -algebra of all bounded adjointable $C_0(G^\circ)$ -module maps on $l^2(G)$. Let π be the $*$ -homomorphism of $C_c(G)$ into $B(l^2(G))$ defined by $\pi(f)\xi = f * \xi$ for $f, \xi \in C_c(G)$. Then the closure of $\pi(C_c(G))$ in $B(l^2(G))$ is called the (reduced) C^* -algebra of the groupoid G , that we denote by $C_r^*(G)$. If we endow $C_c(G)$ with the universal C^* -norm, its completion is called the (full) C^* -algebra of the groupoid G , that we denote by $C^*(G)$. By a general theory of groupoid C^* -algebras, $C_r^*(G)$ is canonically isomorphic to $C^*(G)$ if the groupoid is amenable ([32]). An étale groupoid G is said to be essentially principal if the interior of $G' = \{\gamma \in G \mid s(\gamma) = r(\gamma)\}$ is G° ([33, Definition 3.1]). By Renault [32], [33], $C(G^\circ)$ is maximal abelian in $C_r^*(G)$ if and only if G is essentially principal.

Definition 5.1. A Smale space (X, ϕ) is said to be *asymptotically essentially free* if the interior of the set of n -asymptotic periodic points $\{x \in X \mid (\phi^n(x), x) \in G_\phi^a\}$ is empty for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$.

Lemma 5.2. A Smale space (X, ϕ) is asymptotically essentially free if and only if the groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is essentially principal.

Proof. As we have

$$(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})' = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{(x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \mid x = z\}$$

$$= \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{(x, n, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^n(x), x) \in G_\phi^a\},$$

the interior $\text{int}((G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})')$ of $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$\text{int}((G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})') = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{int}(\{(x, n, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^n(x), x) \in G_\phi^a\}).$$

For $n = 0$, we see that

$$\text{int}(\{(x, 0, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (x, x) \in G_\phi^a\}) = X = (G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ.$$

Hence $\text{int}((G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})') = (G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ if and only if $\text{int}(\{(x, n, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^n(x), x) \in G_\phi^a\})$ is empty for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ except $n = 0$. This implies that (X, ϕ) is asymptotically essentially free if and only if $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is essentially principal. $\square$

Recall that a Smale space (X, ϕ) is said to be irreducible if for every ordered pair of open sets $U, V \subset X$, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi^K(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that a Smale space (X, ϕ) is irreducible and asymptotically essentially free. Then the groupoid C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ is simple.*

Proof. Since (X, ϕ) is asymptotically essentially free, by the above lemma, the groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is essentially principal. Renault's result [32, Proposition 4.6] asserts that there exists a bijective correspondence between the lattice of ϕ -invariant open subset of X and the lattice of closed two-sided ideal of $C_r^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$. Now we are assuming that (X, ϕ) is irreducible, so that there exists no nontrivial ϕ -invariant open subset of X , and hence $C_r^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ is simple. $\square$

We note the following lemma. Its proof is completely similar to the proof of [31, Theorem 1.1]

Lemma 5.4 (cf. [31, Theorem 1.1]). *The groupoids G_ϕ^a and $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are both amenable.*

Proof. We will construct a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of continuous functions on G_ϕ^a with compact support such that $f_n f_n^*$ converges to the constant 1 uniformly on each compact subset of G_ϕ^a . Recall that the unit space $(G_\phi^a)^\circ$ is identified with X as topological spaces. Take a function $g \in C_c(G_\phi^a)$ such that g is nonnegative and strictly positive on $(G_\phi^a)^\circ$. We then have

$$(gg^*)(x, x) = \sum_{(x, y) \in G_\phi^a} |g(x, y)|^2 > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Since g has compact support and G_ϕ^a is étale, the above summation $\sum_{(x, y) \in G_\phi^a}$ is finite. We define $f \in C_c(G_\phi^a)$ by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{g(x, y)}{\sqrt{gg^*(x, x)}}, \quad (x, y) \in G_\phi^a$$

so that $f(x, x) = 1$ for $x \in X$. We put $f_n = f \circ (\phi \times \phi)^n$ so that $f_n f_n^* = f f^* \circ (\phi \times \phi)^n$. Since $f(x, x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$, for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|f f^* - 1\| < \epsilon \quad \text{on} \quad \Delta_\delta^a = \{(x, y) \in G_\phi^a \mid d(x, y) < \delta\}.$$

For any compact subset $K \subset G_\phi^a$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that

$$(\phi \times \phi)^n(K) \subset \Delta_\delta^a \quad \text{for all } n > N.$$

Hence we know $\|f f^* - 1\| < \epsilon$ on K . This shows that the étale groupoid G_ϕ^a is amenable. Define the groupoid homomorphism η by $\eta : (x, n, y) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The kernel is G_ϕ^a . Hence by [1, Proposition 5.1.2], we conclude that $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is amenable. $\square$

By Lemma 5.4, the full groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_\phi^a), C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and the reduced groupoid C^* -algebras $C_r^*(G_\phi^a), C_r^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ are canonically isomorphic respectively, and hence we do not distinguish them respectively, and write them $C^*(G_\phi^a), C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, respectively.

For an irreducible, asymptotically essentially free Smale space (X, ϕ) , the asymptotic Ruelle $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is defined as the groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ of the étale groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. The algebra has been written R_a in Putnam's paper [27]. In this paper, we denote it by $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. As in the papers [27], [26], the groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is the semidirect product of the groupoid G_ϕ^a by the integer group $\mathbb{Z}$, one knows that the algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is naturally isomorphic to the crossed product C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a)$ by $\mathbb{Z}$.

In the construction of the groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, we first define the unitary group U_t^ϕ for $t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on $l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ by setting

$$(U_t^\phi \xi)(x, n, z) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}nt)\xi(x, n, z) \quad (5.1)$$

for $\xi \in l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, $(x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. The automorphisms $\text{Ad}(U_t^\phi)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ on $B(l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}))$ leave $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ globally invariant, and yield an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. Let us denote by ρ_t^ϕ the action $\text{Ad}(U_t^\phi)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. It is direct to see that the action is exactly corresponds to the dual action of the crossed product $C^*(G_\phi^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$.

A continuous function $f : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called a continuous homomorphism if it satisfies

$$f(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = f(\gamma_1) + f(\gamma_2) \quad \text{for } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}.$$

It defines a one-parameter unitary group $U_t(f)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ on $l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ by setting

$$[U_t(f)\xi](x, n, z) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(x, n, z)t)\xi(x, n, z) \quad (5.2)$$

for $\xi \in l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, $(x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. If in particular for the continuous homomorphism $d_\phi(x, n, z) = n$, we have $U_t(d_\phi) = U_t^\phi$ by (5.1).

Now suppose that $(X, \phi) \underset{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$. Let $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be the isomorphism and $h : X \rightarrow Y$ the homeomorphism which give rise to the asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence between them. We define two unitaries

$$V_h : l^2(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), \quad V_{h^{-1}} : l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow l^2(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}),$$

by setting

$$[V_h \zeta](x, n, z) = \zeta(\varphi_h(x, n, z)), \quad \zeta \in l^2(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), (x, n, z) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$[V_{h^{-1}} \xi](y, m, w) = \xi(\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, m, w)) \quad \xi \in l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), (y, m, w) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.4)$$

Since the unit space $(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ is identified with the original space X through the correspondence $(x, 0, x) \in (G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ \rightarrow x \in X$ and $(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ is clopen in $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, we regard $C(X)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. Similarly $C(Y)$ is regarded as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_\psi^a$.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that $(X, \phi) \underset{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$, and keep the above notation. Let $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be the isomorphism of the étale groupoids giving rise to $(X, \phi) \underset{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$. Let $f : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $g : G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be continuous homomorphisms satisfying $f = g \circ \varphi_h$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and*

$$\Phi \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(f)) = \text{Ad}(U_t(g)) \circ \Phi, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. We set $\Phi = \text{Ad}(V_{h^{-1}})$. It satisfies $\Phi(C_c(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})) = C_c(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, $\Phi(\mathcal{R}_\phi^a) = \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ and $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$. For $\zeta \in l^2(G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, $(y, m, w) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in C_c(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\Phi \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(f)))(a)\zeta](y, m, w) \\
&= [V_{h^{-1}}U_t(f)aU_t(f)^*V_h\zeta](y, m, w) \\
&= [U_t(f)aU_t(f)^*V_h\zeta](\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t)[aU_t(f)^*V_h\zeta](\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t)[a * (U_t(f)^*V_h\zeta)](\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t) \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=r(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))} a(\gamma)(U_t(f)^*V_h\zeta)(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \right) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t) \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t)(V_h\zeta)(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \right) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t) \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(f(\gamma^{-1}) + f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t)(V_h\zeta)(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \right) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t) \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(f(\gamma^{-1}) + f(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))t)(V_h\zeta)(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma^{-1})t)\zeta(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1})(y, m, w))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\text{Ad}(U_t(\psi)) \circ \Phi](a)\zeta](y, m, w) \\
&= [U_t(g)V_{h^{-1}}aV_hU_t(g)^*\zeta](y, m, w) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(y, m, w)t)[V_{h^{-1}}aV_hU_t(g)^*\zeta](y, m, w) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(y, m, w)t)[aV_hU_t(g)^*\zeta](\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(y, m, w)t) \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=r(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))} a(\gamma)(V_hU_t(g)^*\zeta)(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) \right) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(y, m, w)t) \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)(U_t(g)^*\zeta)(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1}\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w))) \right) \\
&= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(y, m, w)t) \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1})(y, m, w))t)\zeta(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1})(y, m, w)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{r(\gamma)=h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma)\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}g(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1})t)\zeta(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1})(y, m, w))).
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption, we see that $f(\gamma^{-1}) = g(\varphi_h(\gamma^{-1}))$, so that we obtain $\Phi \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(f)) = \text{Ad}(U_t(\psi)) \circ \Phi$. $\square$

We are assuming that $(X, \phi) \underset{ACOE}{\sim} (Y, \psi)$. Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homeomorphism which gives rise to the asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence between them. Take the continuous functions $c_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $c_2 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and two-cocycle functions $d_1 : G_\phi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $d_2 : G_\psi^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying Definition 3.2 of asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence. We set two functions

$$c_\phi(x, n, z) = c_1^n(x) + d_1(\phi^n(x), z), \quad (x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$c_\psi(y, m, w) = c_2^m(y) + d_2(\psi^m(y), w), \quad (y, m, w) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.7)$$

They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\phi(\gamma_1\gamma_2) &= c_\phi(\gamma_1) + c_\phi(\gamma_2) \quad \text{for } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \\
c_\psi(\gamma'_1\gamma'_2) &= c_\psi(\gamma'_1) + c_\psi(\gamma'_2) \quad \text{for } \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2 \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence they are continuous homomorphisms satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_h(x, n, z) &= (h(x), c_\phi(x, n, z), h(z)), \quad (x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \\
\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, m, w) &= (h^{-1}(y), c_\psi(y, m, w), h^{-1}(w)), \quad (y, m, w) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the following identities hold:

$$d_\psi(\varphi_h(x, n, z)) = c_\phi(x, n, z), \quad d_\phi(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) = c_\psi(y, m, w), \quad (5.8)$$

$$c_\psi(\varphi_h(x, n, z)) = d_\phi(x, n, z) = n, \quad c_\phi(\varphi_h^{-1}(y, m, w)) = d_\psi(y, m, w) = m. \quad (5.9)$$

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that the Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are irreducible, asymptotically essentially free. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent.
- (ii) There exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\psi)) \circ \Phi, \quad \Phi \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi)) = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T}$$

for some continuous homomorphisms $c_\phi : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_\psi : G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (i) $\implies$ (ii): Take $f = d_\phi, g = c_\psi$ in the equality (5.5). We then have $\text{Ad}(U_t(d_\phi)) = \rho_t^\phi$ and $c_\psi \circ \varphi_h = d_\phi$ by (5.9). Hence by (5.5), we obtain

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\psi)) \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (5.10)$$

Take $f = c_\phi, g = d_\psi$ in the equality (5.5). We then have $\text{Ad}(U_t(d_\psi)) = \rho_t^\psi$ and $c_\phi \circ (\varphi_h)^{-1} = d_\psi$ by (5.9). Hence by (5.5), we obtain

$$\Phi \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi)) = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (5.11)$$

(ii) $\implies$ (i): By Renault [33, Proposition 4.11], an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ yields an isomorphism of the underlying étale groupoids $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Hence By Theorem 3.4, we see the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i). $\square$

Remark 5.7. Similar discussions to Theorem 5.6 for topological Markov shifts with continuous orbit equivalence are seen in several papers (cf. [4], [5], [17], [18], [19], etc.)

6 Asymptotic conjugacy

In this section, we will introduce a notion of asymptotic conjugacy between Smale spaces, and describe the asymptotic conjugacy in terms of the Ruelle algebras with its dual actions. Smale spaces in this section are assumed to be irreducible and asymptotically essentially free.

Definition 6.1. Smale spaces (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be *asymptotically conjugate* if they are asymptotically continuously orbit equivalent such that we may take their cocycle functions such as $c_1 \equiv 1$, $c_2 \equiv 1$ and $d_1 \equiv 0$, $d_2 \equiv 0$.

We write this situation as $(X, \phi) \overset{a}{\cong} (Y, \psi)$. Namely we have (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are said to be asymptotically conjugate if and only if there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ which satisfies the following four conditions:

- (i) There exists a continuous function $k_{1,n} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi^{k_{1,n}(x)+n}(h(x)), \psi^{k_{1,n}}(h(\phi^n(x)))) &\in G_\psi^{s,0}, \\ (\psi^{-k_{1,n}(x)+n}(h(x)), \psi^{-k_{1,n}}(h(\phi^n(x)))) &\in G_\psi^{u,0}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) There exists a continuous function $k_{2,n} : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^{k_{2,n}(y)+n}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{k_{2,n}}(h^{-1}(\psi^n(y)))) &\in G_\phi^{s,0}, \\ (\phi^{-k_{2,n}(y)+n}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{-k_{2,n}}(h^{-1}(\psi^n(y)))) &\in G_\phi^{u,0}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) There exists a continuous function $m_1; G_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi^{m_1(x,z)}(h(x)), \psi^{m_1(x,z)}(h(z))) &\in G_\psi^{s,0} \quad \text{for } (x, z) \in G_\phi^a, \\ (\psi^{-m_1(x,z)}(h(x)), \psi^{-m_1(x,z)}(h(z))) &\in G_\psi^{u,0} \quad \text{for } (x, z) \in G_\phi^a. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) There exists a continuous function $m_2; G_\psi^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))) &\in G_\phi^{s,0} \quad \text{for } (y, w) \in G_\psi^a, \\ (\phi^{-m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), \phi^{-m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))) &\in G_\phi^{u,0} \quad \text{for } (y, w) \in G_\psi^a. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is defined as the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ of the étale groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. It is naturally isomorphic to the crossed product $C^*(G_\phi^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of the C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a)$ by the automorphism ϕ^* on $C^*(G_\phi^a)$ induced by the formula

$$\phi^*(f)(x, z) = f(\phi(x), \phi(z)) \quad \text{for } f \in C_c(G_\phi^a), (x, z) \in G_\phi^a.$$

Define the unitary U_ϕ on $l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ by setting

$$(U_\phi \xi)(x, n, z) = \xi(\phi(x), n-1, z) \quad \text{for } \xi \in l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), (x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.1)$$

It is direct to see that

$$U_\phi f U_\phi^* = \phi^*(f) \quad \text{for } f \in C_c(G_\phi^a).$$

Now we are assuming that (X, ϕ) is irreducible and asymptotically essentially free, so that the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is simple by Proposition 5.3. Hence we know that $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $C^*(C^*(G_\phi^a), U_\phi)$ generated by the its subalgebra $C^*(G_\phi^a)$ and the unitary U_ϕ . The following lemma is directly seen from J. Renault's result [33, Proposition 4.11].

Lemma 6.2. *Let (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) be irreducible, asymptotically essentially free Smale spaces. The following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an isomorphism $\varphi : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of étale groupoids such that $\varphi(G_\phi^a) = G_\psi^a$ and $\varphi(G_\phi^{a,0}) = G_\psi^{a,0}$.*
- (ii) *There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C^*(G_\phi^a)) = C^*(G_\psi^a)$ and $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, the unit spaces $G_\phi^{a,0}, G_\psi^{a,0}$ are identified with X, Y respectively as topological spaces. They are also identified with the unit spaces $(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ, (G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$, respectively. Since (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically essentially free, the étale groupoids $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are both essentially principal by Lemma 5.2. The implication (i) $\implies$

(ii) is direct. By Renault [33, Proposition 4.11], an isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ yields an isomorphism φ of the underlying étale groupoids $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. By the construction of the isomorphism φ of the étale groupoids, we see that $\varphi(G_\phi^a) = G_\psi^a$ by the additional condition $\Phi(C^*(G_\phi^a)) = C^*(G_\psi^a)$, thus proving the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i). $\square$

Proposition 6.3. *Let (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) be irreducible, asymptotically essentially free Smale spaces. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and*

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Then there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ which gives rise to an asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence between (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) , such that its cocycle functions satisfy

$$c_1 \equiv 1, \quad c_2 \equiv 1, \quad d_1 \equiv 0, \quad d_2 \equiv 0.$$

Namely, (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically conjugate.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and $\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi, t \in \mathbb{T}$. We will first show that $d_1 \equiv 0, d_2 \equiv 0$. Since the fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_\phi^a)^{\rho^\phi}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ under ρ^ϕ is canonically isomorphic to the groupoid C^* -subalgebra $C^*(G_\phi^a)$, the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ satisfies $\Phi(C^*(G_\phi^a)) = C^*(G_\psi^a)$. By Lemma 6.2, we then find a homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ and a groupoid isomorphism $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi_h(G_\phi^a) = G_\psi^a, \varphi_h|_{G_\phi^{a,0}} = h$ and $\Phi(f) = f \circ h$ for $f \in C(X)$. For $(x, z) \in G_\phi^a$, we have

$$\varphi_h(x, 0, z) = (h(x), c_\phi(x, 0, z), h(z)) = (h(x), d_1(x, z), h(z)).$$

As $\varphi_h(x, 0, z) \in G_\psi^a$, we know that $d_1(x, z) = 0$, and $d_2(y, w) = 0$ for $(y, w) \in G_\psi^a$ similarly.

We will second show that $c_1 \equiv 1, c_2 \equiv 1$. Since the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ satisfies $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$, the groupoid isomorphism $\varphi_h : G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ with homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ yield an asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence between them. They also satisfy the equalities

$$\varphi_h(x, n, z) = (h(x), c_\phi(x, n, z), h(z)), \quad (x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\varphi_{h^{-1}}(y, m, w) = (h^{-1}(y), c_\psi(y, m, w), h^{-1}(w)), \quad (y, m, w) \in G_\psi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.3)$$

Let V_h be the unitary defined in (5.3). As in the proof of Proposition 5.5, by putting $\Phi_h = \text{Ad}(V_{h^{-1}})$, we have an isomorphism $\Phi_h : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ such that $\Phi_h(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and

$$\Phi_h \circ \rho_t^\phi = \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\psi)) \circ \Phi_h, \quad \Phi_h \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi)) = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi_h.$$

Let U_ϕ be the unitary defined in (6.1), which corresponds to the implementing unitary of the positive generator of the group representation of $\mathbb{Z}$ in the crossed product $C^*(G_\phi^a) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. It satisfies the equality $U_\phi f U_\phi^* = f \circ \phi$ for $f \in C(X)$. For $f \in C(X)$, as $\Phi(f) = \Phi_h(f)$, we see that

$$\Phi(U_\phi) \Phi_h(f) \Phi(U_\phi^*) = \Phi(f \circ \phi) = \Phi_h(f \circ \phi) = \Phi_h(U_\phi) \Phi_h(f) \Phi_h(U_\phi^*),$$

so that

$$\Phi_h^{-1}(\Phi(U_\phi))f\Phi_h^{-1}(\Phi(U_\phi^*)) = U_\phi f U_\phi^*.$$

Hence we have

$$U_\phi^* \Phi_h^{-1}(\Phi(U_\phi))f = f U_\phi^* \Phi_h^{-1}(\Phi(U_\phi)) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X).$$

Since (X, ϕ) is asymptotically essentially free, the groupoid $(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ$ is essentially principal by Lemma 5.2. Hence by [32], $C(X) = C((G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})^\circ)$ is a maximal abelian C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$, there exists a unitary $f_0 \in C(X)$ such that $U_\phi^* \Phi_h^{-1}(\Phi(U_\phi)) = f_0$. Hence we have

$$\Phi(U_\phi) = \Phi_h(U_\phi f_0). \quad (6.4)$$

Since $\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi_h \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi)) = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi_h$, we get by the equality (6.4)

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi(U_\phi) = \Phi_h \circ \text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi))(U_\phi f_0). \quad (6.5)$$

As $\rho_t^\phi(U_\phi) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)U_\phi$, the equality (6.5) equals

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)\Phi(U_\phi) = \Phi_h(U_t(c_\phi)U_\phi f_0 U_t(c_\phi)^*). \quad (6.6)$$

As $f_0 \in C(X)$ and $U_t(c_\phi)^* = U_t(-c_\phi)$, we have for $\xi \in l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and $(x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [U_t(-c_\phi)f_0\xi](x, n, z) &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-c_\phi(x, n, z))t)[f_0\xi](x, n, z) \\ &= f_0(x)\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-c_\phi(x, n, z))t)\xi(x, n, z) \\ &= [f_0(x)U_t(-c_\phi)\xi](x, n, z) \end{aligned}$$

so that $U_t(c_\phi)^* f_0 = f_0 U_t(c_\phi)^*$. Hence the equation (6.6) equals

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)\Phi(U_\phi) = \Phi_h(U_t(c_\phi)U_\phi U_t(c_\phi)^* f_0), \quad (6.7)$$

which goes to by (6.4)

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)\Phi_h(U_\phi) = \Phi_h(U_t(c_\phi)U_\phi U_t(c_\phi)^*) \quad (6.8)$$

so that

$$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)U_\phi = U_t(c_\phi)U_\phi U_t(c_\phi)^*. \quad (6.9)$$

For $\xi \in l^2(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and $(x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} &[U_t(c_\phi)U_\phi U_t(c_\phi)^*\xi](x, n, z) \\ &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(c_\phi(x, n, z))t)[U_\phi U_t(-c_\phi)\xi](x, n, z) \\ &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(c_\phi(x, n, z))t)[U_t(-c_\phi)\xi](\phi(x), n-1, z) \\ &= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(c_\phi(x, n, z) - c_\phi(\phi(x), n-1, z))t)\xi(\phi(x), n-1, z). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$[\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)U_\phi\xi](x, n, z) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)\xi(\phi(x), n-1, z).$$

By (6.9), we have

$$c_\phi(x, n, z) - c_\phi(\phi(x), n-1, z) = 1.$$

By (5.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & c_\phi(x, n, z) - c_\phi(\phi(x), n-1, z) \\ &= \{c_1^n(x) + d_1(\phi^n(x), z)\} - \{c_1^{n-1}(\phi(x)) + d_1(\phi^{n-1}(\phi(x), z))\} \\ &= c_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $c_1(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$, and $c_2(y) = 1$ for all $y \in Y$ similarly. $\square$

Theorem 6.4. *Let (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) be irreducible, asymptotically essentially free Smale spaces. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically conjugate: $(X, \phi) \cong_a (Y, \psi)$.
- (ii) There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_\phi^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\psi^a$ of C^* -algebras such that $\Phi(C(X)) = C(Y)$ and

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^\phi = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proof. The implication (ii) $\implies$ (i) follows from Proposition 6.3. We will show the implication (i) $\implies$ (ii). Suppose that (X, ϕ) and (Y, ψ) are asymptotically conjugate. Take a homeomorphism $h : X \longrightarrow Y$ which gives rise to the asymptotically conjugate. Since $c_1 \equiv 1$, $c_2 \equiv 1$, $d_1 \equiv 0$, $d_2 \equiv 0$, we know that

$$c_\phi(x, n, z) = c_1^n(x) + d_1(\phi^n(x), z) = n \quad \text{for } (x, n, z) \in G_\phi^a$$

and $c_\psi(y, m, w) = m$, similarly. Hence we have $c_\phi = d_\phi$ and $c_\psi = d_\psi$ so that $\text{Ad}(U_t(c_\phi)) = \text{Ad}(U_t(d_\phi)) = \rho_t^\phi$ and $\text{Ad}(U_t(c_\psi)) = \text{Ad}(U_t(d_\psi)) = \rho_t^\psi$. Therefore we get the equality $\Phi_h \circ \rho_t^\phi = \rho_t^\psi \circ \Phi_h$ by (5.10) or (5.11). $\square$

7 Extended Ruelle algebras

In this section, we will introduce an extended Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ from a certain amenable étale groupoid of a Smale space. The introduced C^* -algebra contains the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ as a fixed point subalgebra under some circle action. The extended Ruelle algebras will be useful in the following sections to investigate the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ for topological Markov shifts from the view points of Cuntz–Krieger algebras.

We first introduce the following groupoid $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ for a Smale space (X, ϕ) which will be proved to be étale and amenable.

$$G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{(x, p, q, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), y) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^q(x), y) \in G_\phi^u\}. \quad (7.1)$$

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 7.1. *For $(x, p, q, y), (x', p', q', y') \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have*

- (i) $(x, p + p', q + q', y') \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ if $y = x'$.

(ii) $(y, -p, -q, x) \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Two elements $(x, p, q, y), (x', p', q', y') \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ are composable if and only if $y = x'$. The multiplications and the inverse in $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (x, p, q, y) \cdot (x', p', q', y') &= (x, p + p', q + q', y') \quad \text{if } y = x', \\ (x, p, q, y)^{-1} &= (y, -p, -q, x). \end{aligned}$$

We write the unit space $(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ$ of $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ as

$$(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ = \{(x, 0, 0, x) \mid x \in X\}$$

which is identified with X . Define the range map, source map $r, s : G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow (G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ$ by

$$r(x, p, q, y) = (x, 0, 0, x), \quad s(x, p, q, y) = (y, 0, 0, y).$$

For $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi^{s,u,n}(p, q) &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), y) \in G_\phi^{s,n}, (\phi^q(x), y) \in G_\phi^{u,n}\}, \\ G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q) &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), y) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^q(x), y) \in G_\phi^u\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each n , the set $G_\phi^{s,u,n}(p, q)$ is endowed with the relative topology from $X \times X$. Since $G_\phi^{*,n} \subset G_\phi^{*,n+1}$ for $* = s, u$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

$$G_\phi^{s,u,n}(p, q) \subset G_\phi^{s,u,n+1}(p, q) \quad \text{and} \quad G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_\phi^{s,u,n}(p, q). \quad (7.2)$$

We may endow $G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q)$ with inductive limit topology from the inductive system (7.2) of the topological spaces $\{G_\phi^{s,u,n}(p, q)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$. Since we may identify $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the disjoint union $\sqcup_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q)$, the groupoid $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ has the topology defined from the topology of the disjoint union $\sqcup_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q)$. We then have

Proposition 7.2. $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is an étale groupoid.

Proof. We will show that the range map $r : (x, p, q, y) \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow (x, 0, 0, x) \in (G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ$ is a local homeomorphism. Take an arbitrary point $(x, p, q, y) \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 = \sqcup_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q)$ and $G_\phi^{s,u}(p, q) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_\phi^{s,u,n}(p, q)$, we may assume that (x, y) belongs to $G_\phi^{s,u,N}(p, q)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence

$$(\phi^p(x), y) \in G_\phi^{s,N}, \quad (\phi^q(x), y) \in G_\phi^{u,N}$$

which imply that

$$(\phi^{N+p}(x), \phi^N(y)) \in G_\phi^{s,0}, \quad (\phi^{-(N-q)}(x), \phi^{-N}(y)) \in G_\phi^{u,0} \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$d(\phi^{N+p+n}(x), \phi^{N+n}(y)) < \epsilon_0, \quad d(\phi^{-(N-q+n)}(x), \phi^{-(N+n)}(y)) < \epsilon_0 \quad (7.4)$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Take $z \in X$ such that $d(x, z)$ is small enough and $d(\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)) < \epsilon_0$, so that $(\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$. Hence the point $[\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)]$ defines an element of X , and we have an element $\phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])$ in X . Since we may assume that $[\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)] \in X^u(\phi^N(y), \epsilon_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])) &= d(\phi^{-N}(\phi^N(y)), \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])) \\ &< \lambda_0^N d(\phi^N(y), [\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)]) \\ &< \lambda_0^N \epsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have an element $[\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)] \in X$ and $\phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)]) \in X$ such that

$$d(y, \phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)])) < \lambda_0^N \epsilon_0.$$

We may also assume that $\lambda_0^N < \frac{1}{2}$ by taking N large enough, so that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(\phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)]), \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])) \\ &< d(y, \phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)])) + d(y, \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])) \\ &< 2\lambda_0^N \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(\phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)]), \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$$

so that the element

$$\gamma(z) := [\phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)]), \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])]$$

is defined in X . The map γ is defined on a small neighborhood of x and gives rise to a continuous map on the neighborhood.

The conditions (7.3) imply

$$[\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(x)] = \phi^N(y), \quad [\phi^{-(N-q)}(x), \phi^{-N}(y)] = \phi^{-N}(y).$$

Hence for $z = x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x) &= [\phi^N([\phi^{-(N-q)}(x), \phi^{-N}(y)]), \phi^{-N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(x)])] \\ &= [\phi^N(\phi^{-N}(y)), \phi^{-N}(\phi^N(y))] \\ &= [y, y] = y. \end{aligned}$$

We will next show that γ is injective. Suppose that $\gamma(z) = \gamma(z')$ for z, z' in a small neighborhood of x . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-N}(\gamma(z)) &= [[\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-N}(y)], \phi^{-2N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])] \\ &= [\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-2N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)])] \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\phi^{-N}(\gamma(z')) = [\phi^{-(N-q)}(z'), \phi^{-2N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z')])].$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^{-(N-q)}(z) &= [[\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \phi^{-2N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z)], \phi^{-(N-q)}(z))] \\
&= [\phi^{-N}(\gamma(z)), \phi^{N+p}(z), \phi^{-(N-q)}(z)] \\
&= [\phi^{-N}(\gamma(z')), \phi^{N+p}(z), \phi^{-(N-q)}(z)] \\
&= [[\phi^{-(N-q)}(z'), \phi^{-2N}([\phi^N(y), \phi^{N+p}(z')], \phi^{-(N-q)}(z))] \\
&= [\phi^{-(N-q)}(z'), \phi^{-(N-q)}(z)]
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\phi^{-(N-q)}(z) = [\phi^{-(N-q)}(z'), \phi^{-(N-q)}(z)]. \quad (7.5)$$

Since z, z' are in a small neighborhood of x , we may assume that

$$d(\phi^{-(N-q)}(z'), \phi^{-(N-q)}(z)) < \epsilon_0. \quad (7.6)$$

By (7.5) and (7.6), we know

$$\phi^{-(N-q)}(z') \in X^u(\phi^{-(N-q)}(z), \epsilon_0)$$

so that

$$d(\phi^{-(N-q+n)}(z'), \phi^{-(N-q+n)}(z)) < \epsilon_0 \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7.7)$$

As z, z' are in a small neighborhood of x , we may assume that

$$d(\phi^{-n}(x), \phi^{-n}(z)) < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \quad d(\phi^{-n}(x), \phi^{-n}(z')) < \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - q.$$

Hence we have

$$d(\phi^{-n}(z'), \phi^{-n}(z)) < \epsilon_0 \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - q. \quad (7.8)$$

By (7.7) and (7.8), we obtain

$$d(\phi^{-n}(z'), \phi^{-n}(z)) < \epsilon_0 \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7.9)$$

and hence

$$z' \in X^u(z, \epsilon_0). \quad (7.10)$$

We similarly observe that

$$d(\phi^n(z'), \phi^n(z)) < \epsilon_0 \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7.11)$$

and hence

$$z' \in X^s(z, \epsilon_0) \quad (7.12)$$

so that

$$z' \in X^u(z, \epsilon_0) \cap X^s(z, \epsilon_0)$$

and $z' = [z, z] = z$. This shows that γ is injective on a small neighborhood of x and locally a homeomorphism by the definition of γ . As a consequence, the groupoid $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is étale. $\square$

Lemma 7.3. *The étale groupoid $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is amenable.*

Proof. Consider the groupoid homomorphism $\eta : (x, p, q, y) \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \longrightarrow (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The kernel is $G_\phi^s \cap G_\phi^u = G_\phi^a$ which is amenable by Lemma 5.4. Hence by [1, Proposition 5.1.2], we conclude that $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is amenable. $\square$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ of the groupoid $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. We call it the extended Ruelle algebra. Since $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is amenable, the C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ is identified with the reduced groupoid C^* -algebra $C_r^*(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ on $l^2(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ in a canonical way.

Definition 7.4. A Smale space (X, ϕ) is said to be (s, u) -essentially free if the interior of the set $\{x \in X \mid (\phi^p(x), x) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^q(x), x) \in G_\phi^u\}$ is empty for each $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$.

Lemma 7.5. *A Smale space (X, ϕ) is (s, u) -essentially free if and only if the groupoid $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is essentially principal.*

Proof. As we have

$$\begin{aligned} (G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)' &= \cup_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \{(x, p, q, y) \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x = y\} \\ &= \cup_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \{(x, p, q, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), x) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^q(x), x) \in G_\phi^u\}, \end{aligned}$$

the interior $\text{int}((G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)')$ of $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is

$$\text{int}((G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)') = \cup_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{int}(\{(x, p, q, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), x) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^q(x), x) \in G_\phi^u\}).$$

For $p = q = 0$, we see that

$$\text{int}(\{(x, 0, 0, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (x, x) \in G_\phi^s, (x, x) \in G_\phi^u\}) = (G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ = X.$$

Hence $\text{int}((G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)') = (G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^\circ$ if and only if the interior of $\{(x, p, q, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), x) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^q(x), x) \in G_\phi^u\}$ is empty for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ except $p = q = 0$. This implies that (X, ϕ) is (s, u) -essentially free if and only if $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is essentially principal. $\square$

Similarly to Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following.

Proposition 7.6. *Assume that a Smale space (X, ϕ) is irreducible and (s, u) -essentially free. Then the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ is simple.*

Let $U_{z_1, z_2}, (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid |z_i| = 1\}$ be an action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ to the unitary group of $B(l^2(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2))$ defined by

$$(U_{z_1, z_2} \xi)(x, p, q, y) = z_1^p z_2^q \xi(x, p, q, y) \quad \text{for } \xi \in l^2(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2), (x, p, q, y) \in G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

It is easy to see that the automorphisms $Ad(U_{z_1, z_2})$ of $B(l^2(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2))$ for $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ leave $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ globally invariant. It gives rise to an action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$. We denote it by $\rho_\phi^{s,u}$. Let us denote by $\delta_{\phi, z} = \rho_{\phi, (z, z)}^{s,u}, z \in \mathbb{T}$ the action of $\mathbb{T}$, called the diagonal action. Recall that the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is defined by the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ of the étale groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We then have

Theorem 7.7. *Assume that a Smale space (X, ϕ) is irreducible and (s, u) -essentially free. The fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u})^{\delta_\phi}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ under the diagonal action δ_ϕ is isomorphic to the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$.*

Proof. The étale groupoid $G_\phi^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is identified with the subgroupoid

$$\{(x, p, p, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X \mid (\phi^p(x), y) \in G_\phi^s, (\phi^p(x), y) \in G_\phi^u\} \subset G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2. \quad (7.13)$$

of $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, which is written $(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^D$. Since $(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^D$ is clopen in $G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have a natural inclusion relation $C_c((G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^D) \subset C_c(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ of the algebras. For $f \in C_c((G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^D)$, we put

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi(f)(x, p, q, y) = \begin{cases} f(x, p, p, y) & \text{if } p = q, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q. \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_\phi$ defines a continuous linear map from $C_c(G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ to $C_c((G_\phi^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)^D)$ and extends to $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi(f) = \text{int}_{\mathbb{T}} \delta_z(f) dz \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$$

so that we have a conditional expectation from $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ onto $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. It is routine to check that $\mathcal{E}_\phi(\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u})$ is the fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u})^{\delta_\phi}$ of $\mathcal{R}_\phi^{s,u}$ under the diagonal action δ_ϕ . $\square$

8 Asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence in topological Markov shifts

In the first part of this section, we will look topological Markov shifts, which are often called shifts of finite type, as examples of Smale spaces. They have been studied by Ruelle, Putnam and Putnam-Spielberg, etc. from the view point of Smale spaces. The following description follows from Putnam's lecture note [28].

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries $A(i, j)$ in $\{0, 1\}$. We assume that $N \geq 2$ and the matrix A is irreducible and not any permutation matrix. Let us denote by $\bar{X}_A$ the shift space of the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$, which is defined by

$$\bar{X}_A = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

with shift transformation $\bar{\sigma}_A$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}_A((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The space $\bar{X}_A$ becomes a metric space by

$$d((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_0 \neq y_0, \\ \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} & \text{if } k = \text{Max}\{|n| \mid x_i = y_i \text{ for all } i; |i| \leq n\}. \end{cases}$$

By the above metric d , the space $\bar{X}_A$ is a compact Hausdorff space such that the topological dynamical system $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ is called the two-sided topological Markov shift defined by A , which is often called a shift of finite type, or a subshift of finite type. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we set

$$B_k(\bar{X}_A) = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^k \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1, n = 1, \dots, k-1\}$$

and $B_*(\bar{X}_A) = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\bar{X}_A)$, where $B_0(\bar{X}_A)$ denotes the empty word $\emptyset$. Each member of $B_k(\bar{X}_A)$ is called an admissible word of length k .

We will view the topological Markov shift as a Smale space in the following way. Take $\epsilon_0 = 1$, so that we have $(x, y) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$ if and only if $x_0 = y_0$. Hence the bracket $[x, y] = ([x, y]_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A$ for $(x, y) \in \Delta_{\epsilon_0}$ may be defined by

$$[x, y]_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \leq 0, \\ y_n & \text{if } n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $x_0 = y_0$, $([x, y]_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defines an element of $\bar{X}_A$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_A^s(x, \epsilon_0) &= \{y \in \bar{X}_A \mid y_n = x_n \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ \bar{X}_A^u(x, \epsilon_0) &= \{y \in \bar{X}_A \mid y_n = x_n \text{ for } n = 0, -1, -2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

As in Putnam's lecture note [28], the two-sided topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ with the above metric d becomes a Smale space for $\epsilon_0 = 1, \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$.

We write for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$G_A^{s,n} = G_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,n}, \quad G_A^{u,n} = G_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{u,n}, \quad G_A^{a,n} = G_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{a,n}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} G_A^{s,0} &= \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A \mid y_i = x_i \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \\ G_A^{u,0} &= \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A \mid y_i = x_i \text{ for all } i = 0, -1, -2, \dots\}, \\ G_A^{a,0} &= G_A^{s,0} \cap G_A^{u,0} = \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A \mid x = y\}, \end{aligned}$$

we know for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} G_A^{s,n} &= \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A \mid y_i = x_i \text{ for all } i = n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}, \\ G_A^{u,n} &= \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A \mid y_i = x_i \text{ for all } i = -n, -n-1, -n-2, \dots\}, \\ G_A^{a,n} &= G_A^{s,n} \cap G_A^{u,n} = \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A \mid y_i = x_i \text{ for all } |i| = n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

All of them are given the relative topology of $\bar{X}_A \times \bar{X}_A$. We denote by G_A^s, G_A^u, G_A^a the étale groupoids $G_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^s, G_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^u, G_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^a$, respectively. Each of them defines an equivalence relation on $\bar{X}_A$. They satisfy

$$G_A^s = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_A^{s,n}, \quad G_A^u = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_A^{u,n}, \quad G_A^a = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} G_A^{a,n},$$

and are endowed with the inductive limit topology, respectively. Putnam have studied these three equivalence relations G_A^s, G_A^u and G_A^a on $\bar{X}_A$ by regarding them as principal groupoids. He has studied the associated groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_A^s), C^*(G_A^u)$ and $C^*(G_A^a)$ which have been denoted by $S(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A), U(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $A(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$, respectively. He has pointed out that they are all stably AF-algebras. He investigated their semi-direct products as groupoids

$$\begin{aligned} G_A^s \rtimes \mathbb{Z} &= \{(x, n, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times \bar{X}_A \mid (\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x), y) \in G_A^s\}, \\ G_A^u \rtimes \mathbb{Z} &= \{(x, n, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times \bar{X}_A \mid (\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x), y) \in G_A^u\}, \\ G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z} &= \{(x, n, y) \in \bar{X}_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times \bar{X}_A \mid (\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x), y) \in G_A^a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putnam has also deeply studied the associated groupoid C^* -algebras $C^*(G_A^s \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, $C^*(G_A^u \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ and $C^*(G_A^a \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ which have been written R_s , R_u and R_a , respectively in his papers. In this paper, we denote them by $\mathcal{R}_A^s$, $\mathcal{R}_A^u$ and $\mathcal{R}_A^a$, respectively, to emphasize the matrix A . We note that the irreducibility of the Smale space $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ corresponds to the irreducibility of the matrix A , and also both of the asymptotically essential freeness and the (s,u)-essentially freeness of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ correspond to the property that the matrix A is not permutation matrix.

In the second part of this section, we study asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence defined for Smale spaces in Section 3 focusing on topological Markov shifts.

Let $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ be topological Markov shifts. We will regard them as Smale spaces and consider the conditions under which they become asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence.

Lemma 8.1. *Each of the conditions (i) and (ii) in Remark 3.3 are equivalent to the following conditions (i) and (ii), respectively.*

(i) *There exists a continuous function $k_1 : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x)), \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}, \quad (8.1)$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{-k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x)), \bar{\sigma}_B^{-k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))) \in G_B^{u,0}. \quad (8.2)$$

(ii) *There exists a continuous function $k_2 : \bar{X}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$(\bar{\sigma}_A^{k_2(y)+c_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), \bar{\sigma}_A^{k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)))) \in G_A^{s,0}, \quad (8.3)$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}_A^{-k_2(y)+c_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), \bar{\sigma}_A^{-k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)))) \in G_A^{u,0}. \quad (8.4)$$

Proof. (i) We will prove that the equality (8.1) implies (3.6) by putting $k_{1,n}(x) = k_1^n(x)$. Suppose that there exists a continuous function $k_1 : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying the equality (8.1). Since

$$G_B^{s,0} = \{(x, y) \in \bar{X}_B \times \bar{X}_B \mid y_i = x_i \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\},$$

$G_B^{s,0}$ is an equivalence relation in $\bar{X}_B \times \bar{X}_B$. In the equality (8.1), we have

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))) \in \bar{X}_B(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x)), \epsilon_0)$$

so that by Lemma 2.3, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^m(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))) \in \bar{X}_B(\bar{\sigma}_B^m(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x))), \epsilon_0)$$

and hence

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{m+k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x)), \bar{\sigma}_B^{m+k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}.$$

Take $m = k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)) + c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))$ so that we have

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x)), \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0},$$

that is

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1^2(x)+c_1^2(x)}(h(x)), \bar{\sigma}_B^{c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+k_1^2(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}. \quad (8.5)$$

In the equality (8.1), we have the following by replacing x with $\bar{\sigma}_A(x)$,

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))), \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A^2(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^m(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A^2(x)))) \in \bar{X}_B(\bar{\sigma}_B^m(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))), \epsilon_0),$$

so that

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{m+k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))), \bar{\sigma}_B^{m+k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A^2(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}.$$

Take $m = k_1(x)$ so that we have

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)+k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))), \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)+k_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A^2(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0},$$

that is

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1^2(x)+c_1(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x))), \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1^2(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A^2(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}. \quad (8.6)$$

By (8.5) and (8.6), we have

$$(\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1^2(x)+c_1^2(x)}(h(x)), \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1^2(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A^2(x)))) \in G_B^{s,0}. \quad (8.7)$$

This proves (3.6) for $n = 2$. We may inductively prove (3.6) for general n in a similar fashion, and we can see (i). The other assertion (ii) is shown in a similar way to (i). $\square$

For $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A$, we put

$$x_- = (x_{-n})_{n=0}^\infty, \quad x_+ = (x_n)_{n=0}^\infty.$$

Hence we have $(x, z) \in G_A^{s,0}$ (resp. $(x, z) \in G_A^{u,0}$) if and only if $x_+ = z_+$ (resp. $x_- = z_-$).

By Remark 3.3 with Lemma 8.1, we may reformulate asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence in topological Markov shifts in the following way.

Proposition 8.2. *Topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent if and only if there exist a homeomorphism $h : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_B$, continuous functions*

$$c_1 : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_2 : \bar{X}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (8.8)$$

and two-cocycle functions

$$d_1 : G_A^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad d_2 : G_B^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (8.9)$$

such that

(i) *There exists a continuous function $k_1 : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))_+, \quad (8.10)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_B^{-k_1(x)+c_1(x)}(h(x))_- = \bar{\sigma}_B^{-k_1(x)}(h(\bar{\sigma}_A(x)))_-. \quad (8.11)$$

(ii) *There exists a continuous function $k_2 : \bar{X}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\bar{\sigma}_A^{k_2(y)+c_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y))_+ = \bar{\sigma}_A^{k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)))_+, \quad (8.12)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_A^{-k_2(y)+c_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y))_- = \bar{\sigma}_A^{-k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B(y)))_-. \quad (8.13)$$

(iii) *There exists a continuous function $m_1 : G_A^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}_B^{m_1(x,z)+d_1(x,z)}(h(x))_+ &= \bar{\sigma}_B^{m_1(x,z)}(h(z))_+ \quad \text{for } (x,z) \in G_A^a, \\ \bar{\sigma}_B^{-m_1(x,z)+d_1(x,z)}(h(x))_- &= \bar{\sigma}_B^{-m_1(x,z)}(h(z))_- \quad \text{for } (x,z) \in G_A^a. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) *There exists a continuous function $m_2 : G_B^a \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}_A^{m_2(y,w)+d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y))_+ &= \bar{\sigma}_A^{m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))_+ \quad \text{for } (y,w) \in G_B^a, \\ \bar{\sigma}_A^{-m_2(y,w)+d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y))_- &= \bar{\sigma}_A^{-m_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(w))_- \quad \text{for } (y,w) \in G_B^a. \end{aligned}$$

$$(v) \quad c_2^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)) + d_2(\bar{\sigma}_B^{c_1^n(x)}(h(x)), h(\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x))) = n, \quad x \in \bar{X}_A.$$

$$(vi) \quad c_1^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\bar{\sigma}_A^{c_2^n(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_B^n(y))) = n, \quad y \in \bar{X}_B.$$

$$(vii) \quad c_2^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)) + d_2(\bar{\sigma}_B^{d_1(x,z)}(h(x)), h(z)) = 0, \quad (x,z) \in G_A^a.$$

$$(viii) \quad c_1^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)) + d_1(\bar{\sigma}_A^{d_2(y,w)}(h^{-1}(y)), h^{-1}(w)) = 0, \quad (y,w) \in G_B^a.$$

By Theorem 4.8, we have the following corollary

Corollary 8.3. *Let A, B be irreducible, non permutation square matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose that two-sided topological Markov shifts $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are orientation preserving asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent. Then we have $\det(\text{id} - A) = \det(\text{id} - B)$.*

Proof. The assertion is obtained in a similar way to [21, Corollary 6.8] by using Theorem 4.8. $\square$

9 Approach from Cuntz–Krieger algebras

Let $A = [A(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^N$ be an irreducible square matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. We assume that A is not any permutation matrix. Let $\{S_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ be the canonical generating partial isometries of the Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ defined by the matrix A , and similarly $\{T_j \mid j = 1, \dots, N\}$ be the canonical generating partial isometries of the Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ defined by the transposed matrix A^t of A ([7]). They are the universal unique C^* -algebras subject to the following operator relations, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N S_j S_j^* &= 1, & S_i^* S_i &= \sum_{j=1}^N A(i, j) S_j S_j^*, & i &= 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{j=1}^N T_j T_j^* &= 1, & T_i^* T_i &= \sum_{j=1}^N A^t(i, j) T_j T_j^*, & i &= 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

In the algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$, the automorphisms $\rho_t^A \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)$, $t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\rho_t^A(S_i) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ yield an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{O}_A$ is called the gauge action. It is well-known that the fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{O}_A)^{\rho^A}$ of $\mathcal{O}_A$ under the gauge action ρ^A is an AF-algebra written $\mathcal{F}_A$, whose maximal abelian C^* -subalgebra consisting of diagonal elements is written $\mathcal{D}_A$. For an admissible word $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_m(\bar{X}_A)$, we denote by S_μ the partial isometry $S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m}$. The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{F}_A$ is generated by partial isometries of the form $S_\mu S_\nu^*$ for $\mu, \nu \in B_m(\bar{X}_A)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D}_A$ is generated by projections of the form $S_\mu S_\mu^*$ for $\mu \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$. Let X_A be the shift space of the right one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) , which is defined by the compact Hausdorff space

$$X_A = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

with shift transformation $\sigma_A((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. As in [7], the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D}_A$ is canonically isomorphic to the commutative C^* -algebra $C(X_A)$ of all continuous functions on X_A .

We similarly write the C^* -subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_{A^t}, \mathcal{D}_{A^t}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ for the transposed matrix A^t , respectively.

Let us consider the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ of the tensor product of the C^* -algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A^t}$. In the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$, we define the projections

$$E_A = \sum_{j=1}^N T_j T_j^* \otimes S_j^* S_j, \quad E_{A^t} = \sum_{j=1}^N T_j^* T_j \otimes S_j S_j^*.$$

The projection E_A has appeared in Kaminker–Putnam [10]

Lemma 9.1 (cf. [10, Section 4]).

$$E_A = E_{A^t}. \tag{9.1}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_A &= \sum_{i=1}^N T_i T_i^* \otimes S_i^* S_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N T_i T_i^* \otimes \left(\sum_{j=1}^N A(i, j) S_j^* S_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N A^t(j, i) T_i T_i^* \otimes S_j S_j^* \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=1}^N A^t(j, i) T_i T_i^* \right) \otimes S_j S_j^* \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N T_j^* T_j \otimes S_j S_j^* \\ &= E_{A^t}, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving (9.1). □

Definition 9.2 (The extended Ruelle algebra for topological Markov shift). We define the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} = E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A$$

as a C^* -subalgebra of the tensor product C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$.

We also define C^* -subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u} = E_A(\mathcal{D}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{D}_A)E_A, \quad \mathfrak{F}_A^{s,u} = E_A(\mathcal{F}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{F}_A)E_A.$$

Therefore we have C^* -subalgebras of $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$

$$\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u} \subset \mathfrak{F}_A^{s,u} \subset \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}.$$

Define the diagonal gauge action $\delta_{A,r}$ of $r \in \mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ by restricting of the tensor product $\rho_r^{A^t} \otimes \rho_r^A$ of the gauge actions on $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ to the subalgebra $E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A$.

For an admissible word $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in B_k(\bar{X}_A)$, we denote by $\bar{\xi}$ the admissible word $(\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1)$ in $\bar{X}_{A^t}$ obtained by reversing the symbols of the word $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$.

Lemma 9.3. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$ and $\bar{\xi} = (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t})$, the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $E_A(T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*)E_A = T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*.$
- (ii) $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1.$

Proof. We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} E_A(T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*) &= \sum_{i=1}^N T_i^* T_i T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_i S_i^* S_\mu S_\nu^* \\ &= T_{\mu_1}^* T_{\mu_1} T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_1}^* S_\mu S_\nu^* \\ &= A^t(\mu_1, \xi_k) T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^* \\ &= A(\xi_k, \mu_1) T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*)E_A = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*.$$

Hence the equality $E_A(T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*)E_A = T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^*$ holds if and only if $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1.$ $\square$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_A^\circ$ the $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ linearly spanned by the operators of the form

$$T_{\bar{\xi}}T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^* \quad \text{for} \quad A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1 \quad (9.2)$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$ and $\bar{\xi} = (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t}).$

Lemma 9.4. $\mathcal{R}_A^\circ$ is dense in $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}.$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_A$ be the $*$ -algebra linearly spanned by the operators of the form $S_\mu S_\nu^*$ for $\mu, \nu \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$. As in [7], the algebra $\mathcal{P}_A$ becomes a dense $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_A$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{P}_A$ the linear span of elements

$$T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\eta}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\nu^* \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \in B_*(\bar{X}_A), \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta} \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t}).$$

The linear span $\mathcal{P}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{P}_A$ becomes a dense $*$ -subalgebra of the C^* -algebra of tensor products $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$. For any $Y \in \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \subset \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$, take $Y_n \in \mathcal{P}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{P}_A$ such that $\|Y - Y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$\|Y - E_A Y_n E_A\| = \|E_A Y E_A - E_A Y_n E_A\| \leq \|Y - Y_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $E_A Y_n E_A$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}_A^\circ$, we conclude that $\mathcal{R}_A^\circ$ is dense in $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$. $\square$

Lemma 9.5. $\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u}$ is canonically isomorphic to $C(\bar{X}_A)$.

Proof. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$ with $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = 1$, denote by $\xi\mu$ the admissible word $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$. Let $U_{\xi\mu}$ be the cylinder set of $\bar{X}_A$ defined by

$$U_{\xi\mu} = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_A \mid x_{-(k-1)} = \xi_1, \dots, x_{-1} = \xi_{k-1}, x_0 = \xi_k, x_1 = \mu_1, \dots, x_m = \mu_m\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u} = E_A(\mathcal{D}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{D}_A)E_A$, and

$$\mathcal{D}_A = C^*(S_\mu S_\mu^* \mid \mu \in B_*(X_A)), \quad \mathcal{D}_{A^t} = C^*(T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\xi}}^* \mid \bar{\xi} \in B_*(X_{A^t})),$$

it is straightforward to see that the correspondence

$$T_{\bar{\xi}} T_{\bar{\xi}}^* \otimes S_\mu S_\mu^* \in \mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u} \rightarrow \chi_{U_{\xi\mu}} \in C(\bar{X}_A)$$

yields an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u}$ and $C(\bar{X}_A)$. $\square$

Let us recall that $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$ denotes the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ of the amenable étale groupoid $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. It has the diagonal action $\delta_{\bar{\sigma}_A}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ defined in the paragraph right before Theorem 7.7. Its fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u})^{\delta_{\bar{\sigma}_A}}$ is isomorphic to the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^a$ written $\mathcal{R}_A^a$. For the structure of the algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$, we have

Theorem 9.6. *Let A be an irreducible and not permutation square matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Then the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ is a unital, simple, purely infinite, nuclear C^* -algebra isomorphic to the groupoid C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$ of the étale groupoid $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$. More precisely, there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$ of C^* -algebra such that*

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u}) = C(\bar{X}_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \delta_{A,t} = \delta_{\bar{\sigma}_A,t} \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (9.3)$$

Proof. Since A is irreducible and not permutation matrix, the Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_{A^t}$ are both unital, simple, purely infinite and nuclear ([7]). Hence so is the algebra $E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A = \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$. We will construct an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$ having the desired properties (9.3). As in [20], [32], [33], [34], the right one-sided topological Markov shift (X_A, σ_A) gives rise to an étale groupoid G_A , which is defined by

$$G_A = \{((x_i)_{i=1}^\infty, n, (y_j)_{j=1}^\infty) \in X_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times X_A \mid n = l - k, x_{i+k} = y_{i+l}, i = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

We have the groupoid G_{A^t} for the transposed matrix A^t in a similar way. It is well-known that the groupoids G_A, G_{A^t} are amenable and étale such that their C^* -algebras $C^*(G_A), C^*(G_{A^t})$ are isomorphic to the Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_{A^t}$, respectively. Let $G_{A^t} \times G_A$ be the direct product of the groupoids so that $C^*(G_{A^t} \times G_A)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $C^*(G_{A^t}) \otimes C^*(G_A)$ of the groupoid C^* -algebras. Hence we have a natural isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow C^*(G_{A^t} \times G_A)$. For

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_i)_{i=1}^\infty, n, (y_i)_{i=1}^\infty) &\in G_A \text{ with } n = l - k, x_{i+k} = y_{i+l} \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ ((x'_i)_{i=1}^\infty, n', (y'_i)_{i=1}^\infty) &\in G_A \text{ with } n' = l' - k', x'_{j+k'} = y'_{j+l'} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

we assume that $A(x'_1, x_1) = A(y'_1, y_1) = 1$. Put $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^\infty, y = (y_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ and $x' = (x'_i)_{i=1}^\infty, y' = (y'_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. We define a bi-infinite sequence $\pi(x', x) = (\pi(x', x)_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by setting

$$\pi(x', x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \geq 1, \\ x'_{-i+1} & \text{if } i \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\pi(x', x)$ and similarly $\pi(y', y)$ belong to $\bar{X}_A$. Put $N = \text{Max}\{l+1, l'\}$ and $p = -n, q = n'$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}_A^p(\pi(x', x))_i &= \pi(y', y)_i, & i \geq N, \\ \bar{\sigma}_A^q(\pi(x', x))_i &= \pi(y', y)_i, & i \leq -N, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(\pi(x', x), p, q, \pi(y', y)) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Define the subgroupoid $G_{A^t} \times_A G_A$ of $G_{A^t} \times G_A$ by

$$G_{A^t} \times_A G_A = \{((x', n', y'), (x, n, y)) \in G_{A^t} \times G_A \mid A(x'_1, x_1) = A(y'_1, y_1) = 1\}.$$

It is easy to see that the correspondence

$$((x', n', y'), (x, n, y)) \in G_{A^t} \times_A G_A \longrightarrow (\pi(x', x), p, q, \pi(y', y)) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$$

yields an isomorphism of étale groupoids, so that we may identify $G_{A^t} \times_A G_A$ and $G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ as étale groupoids. Since $G_{A^t} \times_A G_A$ is a clopen subset of $G_{A^t} \times G_A$, the characteristic function $\chi_{G_{A^t} \times_A G_A}$ of $G_{A^t} \times_A G_A$ on $G_{A^t} \times G_A$ belongs to the C^* -algebra $C^*(G_{A^t} \times G_A)$, which is denote by P_A . It then follows that the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \longrightarrow C^*(G_{A^t} \times G_A)$ satisfies $\Phi(E_A) = P_A$. Hence the restriction of Φ to the subalgebra $E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A$ gives rise to an isomorphism $E_A(\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A)E_A \longrightarrow P_A C^*(G_{A^t} \times G_A) P_A$ which is still denoted by Φ . As $P_A C^*(G_{A^t} \times G_A) P_A$ is identified with $C^*(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$, we have an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$. It is also described in the following way. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$ and $\bar{\xi} = (\xi_k, \dots, \xi_1), \bar{\eta} = (\eta_l, \dots, \eta_1) \in B_*(\bar{X}_{A^t})$ with $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = A(\eta_l, \nu_1) = 1$, we know that

$$(\phi^{m-n}(x), y) \in G_A^{s, |m-n|}, \quad (\phi^{l-k}(x), y) \in G_A^{u, |l-k|} \quad \text{for } x \in U_{\xi\mu}, y \in U_{\eta\nu}.$$

Let $\chi_{\xi\mu, \eta\nu} \in C_c(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$ be the characteristic function of the clopen set

$$U_{\xi\mu, \eta\nu} = \{(x, p, q, y) \in G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid x \in U_{\xi\mu}, y \in U_{\eta\nu}\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that the correspondence

$$T_{\xi} T_{\eta}^* \otimes S_{\mu} S_{\nu}^* \in \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \longrightarrow \chi_{\xi\mu, \eta\nu} \in C_c(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \quad (9.4)$$

gives rise to the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \longrightarrow C^*(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2) (= \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u})$. By (9.4), we easily know that Φ satisfies (9.3). $\square$

Corollary 9.7. *The fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u})^{\delta_A}$ of $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ under the diagonal gauge action δ_A is isomorphic to the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$.*

Proof. The fixed point algebra $(\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u})^{\delta_{\bar{\sigma}_A}}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u}$ under $\delta_{\bar{\sigma}_A}$ is isomorphic to the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ by Theorem 7.7. Hence the assertion follows. $\square$

Put $U_i = T_i^* \otimes S_i$ in $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We set $U_A = \sum_{i=1}^N U_i$ in $\mathcal{O}_{A^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A$.

Lemma 9.8. *U_A is a unitary in $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$, that is, $U_A U_A^* = U_A^* U_A = E_A$.*

Proof. We have

$$E_A U_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N T_j^* T_j \otimes S_j S_j^* \right) U_i = \sum_{j=1}^N T_j^* T_j T_i^* \otimes S_j S_j^* S_i = T_i^* \otimes S_i$$

and similarly $U_i E_A = U_i$, and hence we have $U_i \in \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$. Since we have $U_i U_i^* = T_i^* T_i \otimes S_i S_i^*$ and $U_i^* U_i = T_i T_i^* \otimes S_i^* S_i$, we see that

$$U_i U_i^* \cdot U_j U_j^* = U_i^* U_i \cdot U_j^* U_j = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

It then follows that

$$U_A^* U_A = \sum_{i=1}^N U_i^* U_i = \sum_{i=1}^N T_i T_i^* \otimes S_i^* S_i = E_A.$$

We have $U_A U_A^* = E_A$ similarly. $\square$

Define the inner automorphism α_A of $\mathcal{R}_A^{s,u}$ by setting $\alpha_A = \text{Ad}(U_A)$.

Proposition 9.9. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{R}_A^{s,u} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\sigma}_A}^{s,u} (= C^*(G_A^{s,u} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2))$ be the isomorphism defined in Theorem 9.6. Then the restriction $\Phi|_{\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u}} : \mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u} \rightarrow C(\bar{X}_A)$ of Φ to the commutative C^* -subalgebra $\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u}$ satisfies the relation:*

$$\Phi \circ \alpha_A = \bar{\sigma}_A^* \circ \Phi.$$

Proof. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m), \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in B_*(\bar{X}_A)$ with $A(\xi_k, \mu_1) = 1$, We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_A (T_{\xi} T_{\xi}^* \otimes S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^*) U_A^* &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N T_i^* T_{\xi} T_{\xi}^* T_j \otimes S_i S_{\mu} S_{\mu}^* S_j^* \\ &= T_{\xi_1}^* T_{\xi_1} T_{(\xi_{k-1}, \dots, \xi_1)} T_{(\xi_{k-1}, \dots, \xi_1)}^* T_{\xi_1}^* T_{\xi_1} \otimes S_{\xi_k \mu} S_{\xi_k \mu}^* \\ &= T_{(\xi_{k-1}, \dots, \xi_1)} T_{(\xi_{k-1}, \dots, \xi_1)}^* \otimes S_{\xi_k \mu} S_{\xi_k \mu}^*. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the equality $\Phi \circ \alpha_A = \bar{\sigma}_A^* \circ \Phi$ holds on $\mathfrak{D}_A^{s,u}$. $\square$

Remark 9.10. In [9, Proposition 6.7], C. G. Holton showed that if two primitive matrices A and B are shift equivalent (cf. [15]), then the asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ and $\mathcal{R}_B^a$ are isomorphic by showing that the automorphism α_A induced by the original transformation ϕ on the AF-algebra $C^*(G_{\phi}^a)$ has the Rohlin property.

10 K-theory for the asymptotic Ruelle algebras for full shifts

In this final section, we will give some examples of topological Markov shifts which are not asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent to each other, by computing the K-groups of the asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$. In [27](cf. [12]), the K-theory formula for the asymptotic Ruelle algebras $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ for the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ has been provided. In particular, ring and module structure of the K-groups have been deeply studied in [12]. We will see the K-groups of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ in a concrete way for full shifts by using the Putnam's formula in [27] which we will describe below. Let A be an $N \times N$ irreducible matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Let us consider the abelian group $H(A)$ of the inductive limit

$$\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{A^t \otimes A} \mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{A^t \otimes A} \dots \quad (10.1)$$

of the abelian groups. Under the natural identification between $\mathbb{Z}^N \otimes \mathbb{Z}^N$ and the $N \times N$ matrices $M_N(\mathbb{Z})$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, we set $H_k(A) = M_N(\mathbb{Z})$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the map $A^t \otimes A$ in (10.1) goes to the map $\iota_k : H_k(A) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(A)$ defined by $\iota_k([T, k]) = [ATA, k+1]$ for $[T, k] \in H_k(A)$ with $T \in M_N(\mathbb{Z})$. Define the homomorphism $\alpha_k : H_k(A) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(A)$ by $\alpha_k([T, k]) = [A^2T, k+1]$ for $[T, k] \in H_k(A)$, which extends to an endomorphism $\alpha : H(A) \rightarrow H(A)$. Putnam showed the following K-theory formula by using the six-term exact sequence for K-theory of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$:

Proposition 10.1 (Putnam [27, p. 192]).

$$K_0(\mathcal{R}_A^a) = \text{Coker}(\text{id} - \alpha : H(A) \rightarrow H(A)), \quad (10.2)$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{R}_A^a) = \text{Ker}(\text{id} - \alpha : H(A) \rightarrow H(A)). \quad (10.3)$$

We will compute the groups $K_*(\mathcal{R}_A^a)$ for the $N \times N$ matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with all

entries being 1's, so that the topological Markov shift $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ is the N -full shift. Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_N^a$ the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_A^a$ for the matrix A . We provide the lemma.

Lemma 10.2. *There exists an isomorphism $\xi : H(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}]$ of abelian groups such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H(A) \\ \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}] & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}] \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. For a matrix $T = [t_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N \in M_N(\mathbb{Z})$, define $\tau_N(T) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_{ij}$. Then τ_N defines a homomorphism $\tau_N : M_N(\mathbb{Z}) (= H_k(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\iota_k([T, k]) = \tau_N(T)A$ for $T \in M_N(\mathbb{Z})$. For $[T, k], [S, k] \in H_k(A)$, $[T, k]$ and $[S, k]$ define the same element in $H(A)$ if and only if $\tau_N(T) = \tau_N(S)$. Since $\tau_N(\iota_k([T, k])) = \tau_N(T)N^2$, we have the sequences of

commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_1(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & H_2(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & H_3(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_3} & \cdots \longrightarrow H(A) \\
\tau_N \downarrow & & \tau_N \downarrow & & \tau_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\times N^2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\times N^2} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\times N^2} & \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}]
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_1(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & H_2(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & H_3(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_3} & \cdots \longrightarrow H(A) \\
\tau_N \downarrow & & \frac{1}{N^2} \tau_N \downarrow & & \frac{1}{N^4} \tau_N \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.
\end{array}$$

Hence we may define an isomorphism $\xi : H(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}] \subset \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\xi([T, k]) = \frac{1}{(N^2)^{k-1}} \tau_N(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}] \quad \text{for } [T, k] \in H_k(A).$$

Since $\alpha([T, k]) = [A^2 T, k+1]$, we have

$$\xi(\alpha([T, k])) = \xi([A^2 T, k+1]) = \frac{1}{(N^2)^k} \tau_N(A^2 T) = \frac{1}{(N^2)^{k-1}} \tau_N(T) = \xi([T, k]),$$

so that the isomorphism $\xi : H(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}]$ satisfies $\xi \circ \alpha = \xi$ □

We thus have the following proposition

Proposition 10.3 (cf. [12]).

$$K_0(\mathcal{R}_N^a) \cong K_1(\mathcal{R}_N^a) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N^2}].$$

Since the isomorphism class of the asymptotic Ruelle algebra $\mathcal{R}_\phi^a$ is invariant under asymptotic continuous orbit equivalence by Theorem 5.6, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 10.4. *Let N, M be positive integers greater than one. Then the two-sided full shifts $(\bar{X}_N, \bar{\sigma}_N)$ and $(\bar{X}_M, \bar{\sigma}_M)$ are asymptotically continuous orbit equivalent if and only if $N = M$.*

Acknowledgments: The author would like to deeply thank Ian F. Putnam for his comments and suggestions on the earlier version of the paper. He kindly pointed out an error of the earlier version and call the author's attention to the papers [9], [12] and [29]. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04896.

References

- [1] C. ANANTHARAMAN-DELAROCHE AND J. RENAULT, *Amenable Groupoids*, L'Enseignement Mathématique Genève, 2000.

- [2] R. BOWEN, *Markov partitions for axiom A diffeomorphisms*, Amer. J. Math. **92**(1970), pp. 725–747
- [3] R. BOWEN, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms* Lecture notes in Math. Springer, Berlin 1975, No. 475.
- [4] T. M. CARLSEN AND J. ROUT, *Diagonal-preserving gauge invariant isomorphisms of graph C^* -algebras*, preprint, arXiv: 1610.00692 [mathOA].
- [5] T. M. CARLSEN, E. RUIZ AND A. SIMS, *Equivalence and stable isomorphism of groupoids, and diagonal-preserving stable isomorphisms of graph C^* -algebras and Leavitt path algebras*, preprint, arXiv: 1602.02602 [mathOA].
- [6] J. CUNTZ, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains II: reducible chains and the Ext-functor for C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **63**(1980), pp. 25–40.
- [7] J. CUNTZ AND W. KRIEGER, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56**(1980), pp. 251–268.
- [8] J. FRANKS, *Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4**(1984), pp. 53–66.
- [9] C. G. HOLTON, *The Rohlin property for shifts of finite type*, J. Funct. Anal. **229**(2005), pp. 277–299.
- [10] J. KAMINKER AND I. F. PUTNAM, *K-theoretic duality for shifts of finite type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **187**(1997), 509–522.
- [11] J. KAMINKER, I. F. PUTNAM AND J. SPIELBERG, *Operator algebras and hyperbolic dynamics*, Operator algebras and quantum field theory (Rome, 1996), 525–532, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997.
- [12] D. B. KILLOUGH AND I. F. PUTNAM, *Ring and module structures on dimension groups associated with a shift of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **32**(2012), 1370–1399.
- [13] B. P. KITCHENS, *Symbolic dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1998).
- [14] A. KUMJIAN, *On C^* -diagonals*, Can. J. Math. **38**(1986), pp. 969–1008.
- [15] D. LIND AND B. MARCUS, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
- [16] K. MATSUMOTO, *Orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **246**(2010), 199–225.
- [17] K. MATSUMOTO, *Strongly continuous orbit equivalence of one-sided topological Markov shifts*, J. Operator Theory **74**(2015), pp. 101–127.

- [18] K. MATSUMOTO, *Uniformly continuous orbit equivalence of Markov shifts and gauge actions on Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145**(2017), pp. 1131–1140.
- [19] K. MATSUMOTO, *Continuous orbit equivalence, flow equivalence of Markov shifts and circle actions on Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Math. Z. **285**(2017), pp. 121–141.
- [20] K. MATSUMOTO AND H. MATUI, *Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Kyoto J. Math. **54**(2014), pp. 863–878.
- [21] K. MATSUMOTO AND H. MATUI, *Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and dynamical zeta functions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **36**(2016), pp. 1557–1581.
- [22] H. MATUI, *Homology and topological full groups of étale groupoids on totally disconnected spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **104**(2012), pp. 27–56.
- [23] H. MATUI, *Topological full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **705**(2015), pp. 35–84.
- [24] T. MORITA, *Meromorphic extensions of a class of dynamical zeta functions and their special values at the origin*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26**(2006), pp. 1127–1158.
- [25] W. PARRY AND M. POLLICOTT, *Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics*, Astérisque **187–188**(1990).
- [26] W. PARRY AND D. SULLIVAN, *A topological invariant for flows on one-dimensional spaces*, Topology **14**(1975), pp. 297–299.
- [27] I. F. PUTNAM, *C^* -algebras from Smale spaces*, Can. J. Math. **48**(1996), pp. 175–195.
- [28] I. F. PUTNAM, *Hyperbolic systems and generalized Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Lecture Notes, Summer School in Operator Algebras, Odense August 1996.
- [29] I. F. PUTNAM, *Functoriality of the C^* -algebras associated with hyperbolic dynamical systems*, J. London Math. Soc. **62**(2000), pp. 873–884.
- [30] I. F. PUTNAM, *A homology theory for Smale spaces*, Memoires of Amer. Math. Soc. **232**(2014), No. 1094.
- [31] I. F. PUTNAM AND J. SPIELBERG, *The structure of C^* -algebras associated with hyperbolic dynamical systems*, J. Func. Anal. **163**(1999), pp. 279–299.
- [32] J. RENAULT, *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. 793, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1980).
- [33] J. RENAULT, *Cartan subalgebras in C^* -algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. **61**(2008), pp. 29–63.
- [34] J. RENAULT, *Examples of masas in C^* -algebras*, Operator structures and dynamical systems, pp. 259–265, Contemp. Math., 503, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

- [35] D. RUELLE, *Thermodynamic formalism*, Addison-Wesley, Reading (Mass.) (1978).
- [36] D. RUELLE, *Non-commutative algebras for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, Invent. Math. **93**(1988), pp. 1–13.
- [37] D. RUELLE, *Dynamical zeta functions and transfer operators*, Notice Amer. Math. Soc. **49**(2002), pp. 175–193.
- [38] S. SMALE *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73**(1967), pp. 747–817.
- [39] K. THOMSEN, *C^* -algebras of homoclinic and heteroclinic structure in expansive dynamics*, Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc. **206**(2010), No 970.